Summary

- Drawbridge is a light-weight VMs alternative for secure application hosting.
- Drawbridge consists of three pieces:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Picoprocess</th>
<th>Security Monitor</th>
<th>Library OS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>picoprocess</td>
<td>picoprocess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isolated user-mode address space</td>
<td>Drawbridge ABI ↑ PAL ↓ 45 ops Security Monitor</td>
<td>application EXE application DLLs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Secure container for code.
- No access to the host OS.
- Provides primitive OS operations to picoproc.
  (threads, VM, i/o streams)
- Subset of Windows w/ picoproc. enlightenments.
  ~16MB mem. overhead
Operating Systems: The Classical View

Programs run as independent processes.

Protected OS kernel mediates access to shared resources.

Protected system calls

...and upcalls (e.g., signals)

Threads enter the kernel for OS services.

Each process has a private virtual address space and one or more threads.

The kernel code and data are protected from untrusted processes.
Some questions

• What functions can/should be in the kernel?
• What functions can/should be in a library?
• What are the tradeoffs?
• What about sharing? Resource management?
  – From Drawbridge: registry, COM, files, display…
• What are the costs/benefits of a “minimal” kernel ABI?
• Why is Microsoft interested in Drawbridge?
• Why now?
• How does it differ from earlier microkernels, e.g., Mach?
OS Platform: A Model

Applications/services. May interact and serve one another.

Libraries/frameworks: packaged code used by multiple applications

OS platform: same for all applications on a system E.g., classical OS kernel

OS mediates access to shared resources. That requires protection and isolation.

[RAD Lab]
Example: heap manager

Program (app)

- alloc "0xA"
- alloc "0xB"
- free "0xA"
- "ok"

Heap manager

sbrk system call

"Set break (4096)"

Stack

"break"

4096

Dynamic data (heap/BSS)

OS kernel
“Subsystems”

- A server process may provide trusted system functions to other processes, outside of the kernel.
  - E.g., this code is trusted, but like other processes it cannot manipulate the hardware state except by invoking the kernel.

- Example: Android **Activity Manager** subsystem provides many functions of Android, e.g., component launch and brokering of component interactions.
- With no special kernel support! It uses same syscalls as anyone else.
- AMS controls app contexts by forking them with a trusted lib, and issuing RPC commands to that lib.
“OS as a service”

Monolithic Kernel based Operating System

- Application
- VFS
- IPC, File System
- Scheduler, Virtual Memory
- Device Drivers, Dispatcher, ...

Microkernel based Operating System

- Application IPC
- UNIX Server
- Device Driver
- File Server

Basic IPC, Virtual Memory, Scheduling
Point of “OS as a Service”

Kernel support for fast cross-domain call (“local RPC) enables OS services to be provided as user programs, outside the kernel, over a low-level “microkernel” syscall interface. This low-level syscall interface is not an API: it is hidden from applications, which are built to use the higher-level OS service APIs.

Many systems use this structure. Android uses it. Android is a collection of libraries and services over a “standard” Linux kernel, with binder supported added to the kernel as a plug-in module (a special device driver).

This structure originated with research “microkernel” systems in the 1980s, most notably the Mach project at CMU. The kernel code base for MacOSX derives substantially from Mach.

Windows uses this structure to some extent. Microsoft’s first modern OS was Windows NT (released in 1993). NT was strongly influenced by the research work in microkernels.
Native virtual machines (VMs)

- Slide a **hypervisor** underneath the kernel.
  - New OS layer: also called **virtual machine monitor (VMM)**.
- Kernel and processes run in a **virtual machine (VM)**.
  - The VM “looks the same” to the OS as a physical machine.
  - The VM is a sandboxed/isolated context for an entire OS.
- Can run multiple VM **instances** on a shared computer.
guest or tenant VM contexts

- guest VM1: P1A, OS kernel 1
- guest VM2: P2B, OS kernel 2
- guest VM3: P3C, OS kernel 3

hypervisor/VMM

host

- VMware
- Xen
- KVM
- VirtualBox
- Parallels
**Image/Template/Virtual Appliance**

- A **virtual appliance** is a program for a virtual machine.
  - Sometimes called a **VM image** or **template**

- The image has everything needed to run a virtual server:
  - OS kernel program
  - file system
  - application programs

- The image can be instantiated as a VM on a cloud.
  - Not unlike running a program to instantiate it as a process
Thank you, VMware
Containers

- Note: lightweight container technologies offer a similar abstraction, but the VMs share a common kernel.
  - E.g., Docker
Drawbridge thread ABI/API

The ABI supports multithreading through five calls to create, sleep, yield the scheduler quantum for, resume execution of, and terminate threads, as well as seven calls to create, signal, and block on synchronization objects:

```
DKHANDLE DkThreadCreate(Addr, Param, Flags);
DkThreadDelayExecution(Duration);
DkThreadYieldExecution();
DkThreadResume(ThreadHandle);
DkThreadExit();
DKHANDLE DkSemaphoreCreate(InitialCount, MaxCount);
DKHANDLE DkNotificationEventCreate(InitialState);
DKHANDLE DkSynchronizationEventCreate(InitialState);
DkSemaphoreRelease(SemaphoreHandle, ReleaseCount);
BOOL DkEventSet(EventHandle);
DkEventClear(EventHandle);
ULONG DkObjectsWaitAny(Count, HandleArray, Timeout);
```
Bascule thread ABI (refines Drawbridge)

SemaphoreCreate(InitCount, MaxCount) -> SemaphoreHandle
SemaphoreRelease(SemaphoreHandle, ReleaseCount)
SemaphorePeek(SemaphoreHandle) -> Count
NotificationEventCreate(InitialState) -> EventHandle
SynchronizationEventCreate(InitialState) -> EventHandle
EventSet(EventHandle)
EventClear(EventHandle)
EventPeek(EventHandle) -> State
ObjectReference(Handle)
ObjectClose(Handle)
ObjectsWaitAny(Count, Handles, Timeout) -> Index
ThreadCreate(Routine, Arg, Stack, Params) -> ThreadHandle
ThreadExit()
ThreadYieldExecution()
ThreadRaiseException(ThreadHandle, OpaquePointer)
Bascule/Drawbridge thread ABI

ThreadCreate(Routine, Arg, Stack, Params) -> ThreadHandle
ThreadExit()
ThreadYieldExecution()
ThreadRaiseException(ThreadHandle, OpaquePointer)
Bascule/Drawbridge semaphore ABI

SemaphoreCreate(InitCount, MaxCount) -> SemaphoreHandle
SemaphoreRelease(SemaphoreHandle, ReleaseCount)
SemaphorePeek(SemaphoreHandle) -> Count

ObjectsWaitAny(Count, Handles, Timeout) -> Index
Bascule/Drawbridge event ABI

NotificationEventCreate(InitialState) -> EventHandle
SynchronizationEventCreate(InitialState) -> EventHandle
EventSet(EventHandle)
EventClear(EventHandle)
EventPeek(EventHandle) -> State

ObjectsWaitAny(Count, Handles, Timeout) -> Index
Drawbridge I/O: streams

The primary I/O mechanism in Drawbridge is an I/O stream. I/O streams are byte streams that may be memory-mapped or sequentially accessed.

Streams are named by URIs...Supported URI schemes include file:, pipe:, http:, https:, tcp:, udp:, pipe.srv:, http.srv, tcp.srv:, and udp.srv:. The latter four schemes are used to open inbound I/O streams for server applications:

```c
DKHANDLE DkStreamOpen(URI, AccessMode, ShareFlags, CreateFlags, Options);
ULONG DkStreamRead(StreamHandle, Offset, Size, Buffer);
ULONG DkStreamWrite(StreamHandle, Offset, Size, Buffer);
DkStreamMap(StreamHandle, Addr, ProtFlags, Offset, Size);
DkStreamUnmap(Addr);
DkStreamSetLength(StreamHandle, Length);
DkStreamFlush(StreamHandle);
DkStreamDelete(StreamHandle);
DkStreamWaitForClient(StreamHandle);
DkStreamGetName(StreamHandle, Flags, Buffer, Size);
DkStreamAttributesQuery(URI, DK_STREAM_ATTRIBUTES *Attr);
DkStreamAttributesQueryByHandle(StreamHandle,
    DK_STREAM_ATTRIBUTES *Attr);
```
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Accountability vs. Freedom

- Partition world into two parts:
  - Green Safer/accountable
  - Red Less safe/unaccountable

- Two aspects, mostly orthogonal
  - User Experience
  - Isolation mechanism
    - Separate hardware with air gap
    - VM
    - Process isolation
Without R|G: Today

My Computer

(N >> m)

Less trustworthy
Less accountable
entities

More trustworthy
More accountable
entities

N attacks/yr

m attacks/yr

Total: N+m attacks/yr on all assets

Entities
- Programs
- Network hosts
- Administrators
With R|G

Less trustworthy
Less accountable
entities

More trustworthy
More accountable
entities

N attacks/yr

(N >> m)

m attacks/yr

My Red Computer
Less valuable
assets

My Green Computer
More valuable
assets

Entities
- Programs
- Network hosts
- Administrators

N attacks/yr on less valuable assets
m attacks/yr on more valuable assets
Must Get Configuration Right

• Keep valuable stuff out of red
• Keep hostile agents out of green
Why R|G?

• Problems:
  – Any OS will always be exploitable
    • The richer the OS, the more bugs
  – Need internet access to get work done, have fun
    • The internet is full of bad guys

• Solution: Isolated work environments:
  – Green: important assets, only talk to good guys
    • Don’t tickle the bugs, by restricting inputs
  – Red: less important assets, talk to anybody
    • Blow away broken systems

• Good guys: more trustworthy / accountable
  – Bad guys: less trustworthy or less accountable