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Automating Reasoning

• Want a sound and complete procedure
• Need to represent information in our database in a canonical form
• Need to understand the factors that influence the efficiency of our reasoning system
Proofs by Resolution

- Convert to canonical form
- Assert negation of the proof target
- Resolve until “nil” is obtained

Problem: In general, we can’t bound the number of steps of resolution needed. (In some cases, we can make assumptions about a restricted number of objects in the universe, but then we go from semi-decidable to exponential - still unpleasant.)

Speeding Up Resolution

- There are many heuristics for speeding up resolution – we can view it as a special kind of search
- Can also consider special cases
- AI has a colorful history of special case logics and special case reasoning engines for handling these logics
Implementation Issues

• Any reasoning system must be able to identify relevant sentences in its KB rapidly
• Maintain multiple indices:
  – A list of positive literals for each predicate symbol
  – A list of negative literals for each predicate symbol
  – A list of sentences with this predicate as conclusion
  – A list of sentences with this predicate as premise

• More sophisticated, tree-based indexing schemes are possible

Unification

• We need to avoid circular unifications
• Consider Unify(P(x,f(x)),P(y,y))=???
• What happens:
  – Bind x to y
  – Bind f(x) to y
  – This implies x is bound f(x)
  – This is circular
• Checking called an “occurs check”
• O(n^2) to check this (many systems don’t)
Prolog

- Prolog is a grand effort to make logic a practical programming method
- Japanese 5th generation computer project, essentially a massive prolog machine research project, burned $400M in the 1980s (target was $1.9B)
- Prolog is a declarative language
  - State the things that are true
  - Ask the system to prove things
  - All computations are essentially proofs
- Prolog makes many restrictions on KB
- My bias: Prolog is a fascinating way to think about logic and programming, but is of waning importance in AI

Prolog Properties

- KB is sequences of sentences (all implicitly conjoined)
- All sentences must be horn
- Can use constants, variables, or functions
- Queries can include conjunctions or disjunctions
- Cannot assert negations
  - Closed world assumption
  - Everything not implied by the KB is assumed false
Prolog Properties

• All syntactically distinct terms refer to distinct objects
  – Two variables can be =
  – Two objects cannot be =
• Built in predicates for arithmetic
• Build in list handling as part of the unification process

Prolog Implementation

• Inferences are done with backward chaining
• Is this complete?
• What is the computational complexity?
• Conjuncts are tried in left to right order (as entered in the KB)
• Tries implications in order they are entered
• No occurs check (in most Prologs)
Prolog UI

- Load a database using consult
- Consult(user) loads database from the command line ctrl-d to terminal
- Consult(file) loads database from a file.
- Some prologs use [file].

Prolog Syntax

- Variables are upper case
- Constants are lower case
- Implication :-
- Universal quantification is implicit
- Sentences are terminated with a .
- Specify RHS first: Mortal(X):-Man(X)
- Conjunction with ,: Mortal(X):-Man(X),Living(X).
Prolog Syntax

- Lists [Head|Tail]
  - Head is bound to first element of list
  - Tail is bound to remainder of list
  - Append
- Numbers
  - Numbers are assigned with “is”
  - Checked with =, =<, =>

Prolog Bindings

- Use = to check if two bindings are same
- Use \(\neq\) to check if they are different
- Hit enter at the end of query to stop search
- Use ; to get multiple answers
Weird/Interesting Stuff About Prolog

- Purely declarative (or purely functional) framework for programming leaves little room for “side effects” such as graphics, file output, etc.
- but... Prolog has lots of back doors that let you step outside of the purely declarative framework
- Prolog is Turing Complete
- Prolog programmers must be continually aware of the operation of the theorem proving engine
- You can easily write prolog programs that go into infinite loops, and it will not be obvious why this is happening until you have fully internalized the way the theorem prover works

Prolog Redux

- Despite its coolness and potential power, prolog is not widely used today
  - Horn restrictions are awkward in practice
  - Knowledge representation is hard in general
  - Programming paradigm is alien to many and awkward for things other than logic queries
- Prolog concepts live on in a restricted form in the database query language datalog:
  - Subset of prolog
  - Sound and complete
  - Efficient implementations exist
- Datalog is used primarily for database research, but datalog concepts have influenced mainstream database implementations