

Topic 18: Basic Graph Algorithms

(CLRS Appendix B.4-B.5, 22)


CPS 230, Fall 2001

1 Graph Problems


- During the next couple of weeks we will discuss graph algorithms.
- We start with a review of the basic definitions and a few fundamental graph algorithms.

1.1 Definitions

- A graph $G = (V, E)$ consists of a finite set of *vertices* V and a finite set of *edges* E .
 - *Directed graph (DAG)*: E is a set of ordered pairs of vertices (u, v) where $u, v \in V$



- *Undirected graph*: E is a set of unordered pairs of vertices $\{u, v\}$ where $u, v \in V$



- Edge (u, v) is *incident* to u and v
- *Degree* of vertex in undirected graph is the number of edges incident to it.
- *In (out) degree* of a vertex in directed graph is the number of edges entering (leaving) it.
- A *path* from u_1 to u_2 is a sequence of vertices $\langle u_1 = v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k = u_2 \rangle$ such that $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E$ (or $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \in E$)



- We say that u_2 is *reachable* from u_1
- The *length* of the path is k
- It is a *cycle* if $v_0 = v_k$
- An undirected graph is *connected* if every pair of vertices are connected by a path
 - The *connected components* are the equivalence classes of the vertices under the “reachability” relation. (All connected pair of vertices are in the same connected component).
- A directed graph is *strongly connected* if every pair of vertices are reachable from each other
 - The *strongly connected components* are the equivalence classes of the vertices under the “mutual reachability” relation.
 - In the DAG pictured earlier, there are three strongly connected components. The subgraph induced by vertices $\{1, 2, 4, 5\}$ is strongly connected and it forms a strongly connected component. The other two strongly connected components consist of the single sets $\{3\}$ and $\{6\}$.

- Graphs appear all over the place in all kinds of applications, e.g.
 - Trees ($|E| = |V| - 1$)
 - Connectivity/dependencies (house building plans, WWW-page connections, ...)
- Often the edges (u, v) in a graph have weights $w(u, v)$, e.g.
 - Road networks (distances)
 - Cable networks (capacity)

1.2 Representation

- *Adjacency-list* representation:
 - Array of $|V|$ list of edges incident to each vertex.

Examples:




- Note: For undirected graphs, every edge is stored twice. Hence, space is $O(|V| + 2|E|) = O(|V| + |E|)$.
- If graph is weighted, a weight is stored with each edge.

- *Adjacency-matrix* representation:
 - $|V| \times |V|$ matrix A where

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (i, j) \in E \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Examples:



- Note: For undirected graphs, the adjacency matrix is symmetric along the main diagonal (i.e., $A^T = A$).
- If graph is weighted, weights are stored in the adjacency matrix instead of 1s.

- Comparison of matrix and list representation:

Adjacency list	Adjacency matrix
----------------	------------------

$O(V + E)$ space Good if graph <i>sparse</i> ($ E \ll V ^2$) No quick access to (u, v)	$O(V ^2)$ space Good if graph <i>dense</i> ($ E \approx V ^2$) $O(1)$ access to (u, v)
--	---

- We will use adjacency list representation unless stated otherwise ($O(|V| + |E|)$ space).

2 Graph traversal

- There are two standard (and simple) ways of traversing all vertices/edges in a graph in a systematic way
 - Breadth-first
 - Depth-first
- We can use them in many fundamental algorithms, e.g., finding cycles, connected components, ...

2.1 Breadth-first search (BFS)

- Main idea:
 - Start by visiting some source vertex s .
 - Then visit all vertices at distance 1,
 - Then visit all vertices at distance 2,
 - Then visit all vertices at distance 3,
 - ...
- BFS corresponds to computing *shortest path* distance (in terms of the number of edges) from s to all other vertices.
- To control progress of our BFS algorithm, we think about *coloring* each vertex
 - *White* before we start,
 - *Gray* after we visit the vertex but before we have visited all its adjacent vertices,
 - *Black* after we have visited the vertex and all its adjacent vertices (all adjacent vertices are gray).
- We use a FIFO queue Q to hold all gray vertices—vertices we have seen but are still not done with.
- We remember from which vertex a given vertex v is colored gray (visit[v]).

- Algorithm:

```

BFS(s)
  color[s] = gray
  d[s] = 0
  ENQUEUE(Q, s)
  WHILE Q not empty DO
    DEQUEUE(Q, u)
    FOR (u, v) ∈ E DO
      IF color[v] = white THEN
        color[v] = gray
        d[v] = d[u] + 1
        visit[v] = u
        ENQUEUE(Q, v)
      FI
    color[u] = black
  OD

```

- Algorithm runs in $O(|V| + |E|)$ time

- Note:

- The edges $(\text{visit}[v], v)$, for all $v \in V$ form a tree called the *BFS-tree*.
- $d[v]$ contains length of shortest path (in terms of the number of edges) from s to v .
- We can use the visit array to find the shortest path from s to any given vertex v , by tracing the path backwards from v : v , $\text{visit}[v]$, $\text{visit}[\text{visit}[v]]$, ...

- If graph is not connected we have to try to start the traversal at all nodes.


```

FOR each vertex u ∈ V DO
  IF color[u] = white THEN BFS(u)
OD

```

- Note: We can use algorithm to compute connected components in $O(|V| + |E|)$ time.

- BFS Example:



2.2 Depth-first search (DFS)

- If we use a stack instead of a FIFO queue Q , we get another traversal order: depth-first search
 - We explore "as deeply as possible".
 - Backtrack until we find unexplored adjacent vertex,
 - Explore as deeply as possible,
 - ⋮
- Often we are interested in "discovery time" and "finish time" of vertex u
 - Discovery time ($d[u]$): indicates at what "time" vertex u is first visited.
 - Finish time ($f[u]$): indicates at what "time" all adjacent vertices of vertex u have been visited.
- Instead of using a stack in a DFS algorithms, we can write a recursive procedure

- We will color a vertex gray when we first meet it and black when we finish processing all adjacent vertices.

- Algorithm:

```

DFS(u)
  color[u] = gray
  d[u] = time
  time = time + 1
  FOR (u, v) ∈ E DO
    IF color[v] = white THEN
      visit[v] = u
      DFS(v)
    FI
  OD
  color[u] = black
  f[u] = time
  time = time + 1

```

- Algorithm runs in $O(|V| + |E|)$ time

- As before we can extend algorithm to unconnected graphs and we can use it to find connected components in $O(|V| + |E|)$ time.

```

FOR each vertex u ∈ V DO
  IF color[u] = white THEN DFS(u)
OD

```

- As previously, the edges $(\text{visit}[v], v)$, for all $v \in V$ form a tree called the *DFS-tree*.

DFS: How it works

- Initialize all vertices to white
- Reset global counter
- Check each vertex; visit each *white* vertex using DFS
- Each call to $\text{DFS}(u)$ roots a new tree of depth-first forest at vertex u
- Vertex is *gray* if it has been discovered, but not all its edges have been explored!
- gray* edges always form a linear chain!
- Vertex is *black* after all its edges are explored
- When DFS returns, every vertex u is assigned:
 - a discovery time $d[u]$, and
 - a finishing time $f[u]$

DFS: Running time

Running time $O(|V|^2)$, because

DFS called once per vertex

Each loop over Adj runs $< |V|$ times.

But... can we show a better bound?

- **Amortized bookkeeping:** charge exploration of edge *to* the edge:

Charge DFS loop body to edge (runs once per edge if directed graph, twice if undirected)

Charge rest of DFS to vertex (runs once per vertex)

- Time = $O(|V| + |E|)$, which is *linear time*

$O(|V| + |E|)$ is considered *linear time for graph* because it is linear in size of adjacency-list representation!

DFS Timestamping


The procedure DFS records:

- discovery time of vertex u in $d[u]$
- finishing time of vertex u in $f[u]$


For every vertex u ,

$$d[u] < f[u].$$

DFS Example



DFS Example



Inside each node above,

- each gray vertex is labeled by its discovery time, and
- each black vertex is labeled by both its discovery time and its finish time.

DFS: Structure of colored vertices

Vertex u is:

- *white* before time $d[u]$
- *gray* between time $d[u]$ and time $f[u]$
- *black* thereafter.

Also notice structure throughout algorithm:

- *gray* vertices form a linear chain.
 - stack of recursive calls
(*things started but not yet finished*)

DFS: parenthesis theorem

Discovery, finish times have **parenthesis structure**.


- represent discovery of u with left parenthesis “(u ”
- represent finishing u by right parenthesis “ u)”
- history of discoveries and finishings makes a well-formed expression! (Parentheses are properly nested.)
- If v is a descendant of u in the DFS tree, then

$$d[u] < d[v] < f[v] < f[u].$$

Proof in CLRS (omitted here); intuition:

Intervals either disjoint or enclosed, but never (otherwise) overlap
We'll just look at example.

DFS and Parenthesization



Edge Classification

Tree edge: (*gray to white*)
encounter new (*white*) vertex
Form spanning forest (no cycles)

Back edge: (*gray to gray*)
from descendant to ancestor

Forward edge: (*gray to black*)
nontree, from ancestor to descendant

Cross edge: (*gray to black*)
remainder — between trees or subtrees
(if same tree, can't go anc/desc, or desc/anc)

DFS: edge classification

Notes:

- ancestor/descendant is with respect to **tree** edges
- **tree** and **back** edges are important;
- most algorithms don't distinguish between **forward** and **cross** edges

Exercise:


- How to distinguish forward, cross edges in DFS?
(Hint: look at discovery times.)

DFS: Lemma

Theorem 22.10:

In a depth-first search of an *undirected graph* G , every edge of G is either a tree edge or a back edge.


Sketch of proof:



DFS: Lemma

Theorem 22.10:

Proof:




▷ Suppose there's a forward edge $F?$ (at left)
But $F?$ edge must actually be B because we must finish processing bottom vertex before resuming with top vertex.

DFS: Lemma

Theorem 22.10:

Proof:



▷ Suppose there's a cross edge $C?$ between subtrees (at right)

$C?$ edge can't be Cross edge:

It must be explored from its first endpoint to be explored, in which case the other endpoint isn't yet explored, and the edge becomes a T edge instead of a C edge.

The search continues beyond the other endpoint, and the T edge coming out of the other endpoint changes to a B edge.

Exercise

Can use DFS to find cycles in undirected graphs!

An undirected graph is acyclic (i.e., a forest) iff a DFS yields no back edges.

- Proof that acyclic \Rightarrow no back edge:
trivial (back edge \Rightarrow cycle)
- Proof that no back edges \Rightarrow acyclic:
No back edges \Rightarrow only tree edges (by above lemma)
 \Rightarrow forest \Rightarrow acyclic

Exercise


We can thus run DFS: if find a back edge, then we can stop and report that there's a cycle

- Time $O(|V|)$, [not $O(|V| + |E|)$!]

If ever see $|V|$ distinct edges, must have seen a back edge, because in acyclic (undirected) forest, $|E| \leq |V| - 1$.

Directed Acyclic Graphs (DAGs)

- No *directed* cycles
example:



- Used in many applications to indicate precedences among events
- Example: parallel code execution
 - Topological Sort (induce a total ordering)


DAG: Theorem

Theorem: A directed graph G is acyclic iff a DFS yields no back edges.

\Rightarrow : back edge \Rightarrow cycle

\Leftarrow : Contrapositive: cycle \Rightarrow back edge

Suppose G has a cycle. Let v have lowest discovery # on cycle, and let u be predecessor on cycle.



When v discovered, whole cycle is *white*.

Must visit everything reachable on a *white* path from v before returning from $\text{DFS}(v)$.

Thus (u, v) is a back edge. \square

- $O(|V| + |E|)$ time [Why not $O(|V|)$ as before?]

Topological Sort

Topological Sort of a dag $G = (V, E)$ is a

- Linear ordering of all vertices of a dag

such that

- If G contains an edge (u, v) , then u appears before v in the ordering.

If the graph has a cycle, then no linear ordering is possible!

Topological Sort: pseudocode

The following algorithm topologically sorts a DAG:

TOPOLOGICAL-SORT(G)


- 1 call **DFS**(G) to compute finishing times $f[v]$ for each vertex v
- 2 as each vertex is finished, insert it onto the front of a linked list
- 3 **return** the linked list of vertices

At end, linked list comprises total ordering!

Topological Sort: Example


Example: precedence relations (don x before y)

Intuition: Can “schedule” task only when all of its follow-on tasks have been scheduled. The task is scheduled earlier than its follow-on tasks.



(a)

(b)



Topological Sort: running time

Running Time:

- depth-first search: takes $O(|V| + |E|)$ time
- insert each of the $|V|$ vertices onto the front of the linked list: takes $O(1)$

We can perform a topological sort in time $O(|V| + |E|)$.

Topological Sort: correctness

Correctness proof for $\text{TOPOLOGICAL-SORT}(G)$

Claim: $(u, v) \in E \Rightarrow f[u] > f[v]$

When (u, v) explored, u is *gray*

If $v = \textit{gray}$

$\Rightarrow (u, v) = \textit{backedge}$ (cycle, contradiction).

If $v = \textit{white}$

$\Rightarrow v$ becomes descendant of u

$\Rightarrow f[v] < f[u]$

If $v = \textit{black}$

$\Rightarrow f[v] < f[u]$

Alternative algorithm for Topological Sort

Count the in-degree of each vertex. Then repeat the following until there are no more vertices: Remove a vertex with in-degree 0, remove all its outgoing edges, and update the in-degrees of the neighboring vertices.

```

FOR all vertices  $v$  DO
  degree[v] = 0
OD
FOR all edges  $(u, v) \in E$  DO
  degree[v] = degree[v] + 1
  IF degree[v] = 0 THEN ENQUEUE(Q, v)
OD
 $i = 0$ 
WHILE  $Q \neq \emptyset$  DO
  DEQUEUE(Q,  $u$ )
  Topsort( $u$ ) =  $i$ 
   $i = i + 1$ 
  FOR all edges  $(u, v) \in E$  DO
    degree[v] = degree[v] - 1
    IF degree[v] = 0 THEN ENQUEUE(Q, v)
  OD
OD
    
```

Strongly Connected Components (SCC)

A **strongly connected component** of a directed graph $G = (V, E)$ is:

a maximal set of vertices $U \subseteq V$ such that for every pair of vertices u and v in U , we have both




- $u \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v$
- and
- $v \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow u$

That is, u and v are reachable from each other!

in other words ...

- $u \mathbf{R} v$ if u and v lie on a common cycle.
- \mathbf{R} is an equivalence relation (r,s,t).
- strongly connected components are a partition of graph G under \mathbf{R} .

SCC: examples



SCC: Pseudocode

(CLRS §22.5)

To compute SCC of directed graph $G = (V, E)$, use two DFS's, one on G and one on G^T (G , with edges swapped):

STRONGLY-CONNECTED-COMPONENTS(G)

- 1 call **DFS(G)** to compute finishing times $f[u]$ for each vertex u
- 2 compute G^T
- 3 call **DFS(G^T)**, but in the main loop of **DFS**, consider the vertices in order of decreasing $f[u]$ (as computed in line 1)
- 4 output vertices of each tree in the depth-first forest of step 3 as a separate SCC

Intuition: explore latest-finished vertices first

Running time $\Theta(V + E)$ [Why?]

- Strongly-Connected-Components can be found in linear time.

SCC: Lemmas and Theorems

Lemma 22.13

- Let C and C' be two strongly connected components in directed graph G . Let $u, v \in C$ and $u', v' \in C'$. If there is a path in G from u to u' , then there cannot be a path in G from v' to v .

Lemma 22.14

- Let C and C' be two strongly connected components in directed graph G . Suppose there is an edge (u, v) in G , where $u \in C$ and $v \in C'$. Then $f(C) > f(C')$.

Corollary 22.15

- Let C and C' be two strongly connected components in directed graph G . Suppose there is an edge (u, v) in G^T , where $u \in C$ and $v \in C'$. Then $f(C) < f(C')$.

SCC: Lemmas and Theorems

Theorem 22.16

- **STRONGLY-CONNECTED-COMPONENTS(G)** correctly computes the strongly connected components of a directed graph G .

See CLRS §22.5 for proofs and further explanations.