Logic Intro

CPS 270

Ron Parr

Historical Perspective I

- Logic was one of the classical foundations of AI
- Dream: A Knowledge-Based agent
  - Tell the agent facts
  - Agent uses rules of inference to deduce consequences
  - Example: prolog
- Distinction between data and program
- Embodied in field of "Expert Systems"

Example: Minesweeper

- How do you play minesweeper?
- How would you program a machine to do it?
  - Hacking
  - Search
  - Logic
- Logic approach
  - Tell the system of rules of minesweeper
  - System uses logic to make the best moves

What is logic, really?

- Syntax: Rules for constructing valid sentences
- Semantics: Relate syntax to the real world

Entailment

- Aim: Rule for generating (or testing) new sentences that are *necessarily* true
- The truth of sentence may depend upon the *interpretation* of the sentence

Interpretations

- An interpretation is a way of matching up objects in the universe with symbols in a sentence (or database).
- A sentence may be true in one interpretation, but false in another
- A *necessarily true* sentence is true in all interpretations

Soundness & Completeness

- A (set of) rule(s) of inference is sound if it generates only sentences that are entailed by the knowledge base, i.e., only necessary truths
- A (set of) rule(s) of inference is complete if it can generate all necessary truths
- Can we have one w/o the other?

Historical Perspective II

- Things that are not true necessarily but still true are sometimes said to be "contingent," "accidental," or "synthetic," truths.
- A deep understanding of this distinction evolved through thousands of years of philosophy and mathematics
- Arguably one of the most important intellectual accomplishments of mankind
  - Basis of mathematic proofs
  - Provides a rigorous procedure for verifying statements

Relation to SAT

- When we want to know if a sentence is satisfiable, what does this mean?
- What about #SAT?
- Why do we care?

Propositional Logic

- Propositional logic is the simplest logic
- All sentences are composed of
  - Atoms
  - Negation
  - Disjunction, conjunction
  - Conditional, biconditionals
- Atoms can map to any proposition about the universe

Checking Validity

- Classic method for checking validity: *truth table*
- Enumerate all possible values (t/f) of atomic elements of a sentence

$$(P \vee H) \wedge \neg H \Rightarrow P$$

- Enumerate all 4 (or more) combinations

Inference Rules

- Inference rules are (typically) sound methods of generating new sentences given a set of previous sentences
- Inference rules save us the trouble of generating truth tables all of the time

Inference Rules I

- Modus Ponens

$$\frac{\alpha \Rightarrow \beta, \alpha}{\beta}$$

- And-Elimination

$$\frac{\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge \alpha_n}{\alpha_i}$$

Inference Rules II

- And-Introduction

$$\frac{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n}{\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge \alpha_n}$$

- Or-Introduction

$$\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2 \vee \ldots \vee \alpha_n}$$

Inference Rules III

- Double Negation Elimination

$$\frac{\neg\neg\alpha}{\alpha}$$

- Unit Resolution

$$\frac{\alpha \vee \beta, \neg\beta}{\alpha}$$

Resolution

$$\frac{\alpha \vee \beta, \neg\beta \vee \gamma}{\alpha \vee \gamma}$$

Resolution is perhaps the most important inference rule!

Why?

Complexity of Inference

- What is the complexity of verifying the validity of a sentence with n literals (variables)?
- Special Case: Horn Logic
  - Horn clauses are disjunctions with at most one positive literal
  - Equivalent to

Horn Clause Inference

- Horn clause inference is polynomial – Why?
- What types of things are easy to represent with horn clauses?

Propositional Logic Conclusion

- Logic gives formal rules for reasoning
- Necessarily true = true in all interpretations
- Satisfiable = true in some, but not necessarily all interpretations
- Sound inference rules generate necessary truths given a KB
- Resolution is a sound and complete inference rule
- Inference with a horn KB is poly time