

Uncertainty

CPS 270
Ron Parr

Why do we need uncertainty?

- Reason: Sh*t happens
- Actions don't have deterministic outcomes
- Can logic be the "language" of AI???
- Problem:
 - General logical statements are almost always false
- Truthful and accurate statements about the world would seem to require an endless list of *qualifications*
- How do you start a car?
- Call this "The Qualification Problem"

The Qualification Problem

- Is this a real concern?
- YES!
- Systems that try to avoid dealing with uncertainty tend to be brittle.
- Plans fail
- Finding shortest path to goal isn't that great if the path doesn't really get you to the goal

Probabilities

- Natural way to represent uncertainty
- People have intuitive notions about probabilities
- Many of these are wrong or inconsistent
- Most people *don't get* what probabilities mean
- Finer details of this question still debated

Understanding Probabilities

- Initially, probabilities are "relative frequencies"
- This works well for dice and coin flips
- For more complicated events, this is problematic
- What is the probability that the democrats will control Congress in 2008?
 - This event only happens once
 - We can't count frequencies
 - Still seems like a meaningful question
- In general, all events are unique
- "Reference Class" problem

Probabilities and Beliefs

- Suppose I have rolled a die and hidden the outcome
- What is $P(\text{Die} = 3)$?
- Note that this is a statement about a *belief*, not a statement about the world
- The world is in exactly one state and it is in that state with probability 1.
- Assigning truth values to probability statements is very tricky business
- Must reference speakers state of knowledge

Frequentism and Subjectivism

- Frequentists hold that probabilities must come from relative frequencies
- This is a purist viewpoint
- This is corrupted by the fact that relative frequencies are often unobtainable
- Often requires complicated and convoluted assumptions to come up with probabilities
- Subjectivists: probabilities are degrees of belief
 - Taints purity of probabilities
 - Often more practical

The Middle Ground

- No two events are ever identical, but
- No two events are ever totally unique either
- What is probability that Hillary Clinton will be elected President?
 - Women have run for VP before
 - People like Hillary Clinton have run before
 - Have background knowledge about the electorate

Why probabilities are good

- Subjectivists: probabilities are degrees of belief
- Are all degrees of belief probability?
 - AI has used many notions of belief:
 - Certainty Factors
 - Fuzzy Logic
- Can prove that a person who holds a system of beliefs inconsistent with probability theory can be tricked into accepted a sequence of bets that is guaranteed to lose (Dutch book)

What are probabilities?

- Probabilities are defined over random variables
- Random variables are usually represented with capitals: X,Y,Z
- Random variables take on values from a finite domain $d(X)$, $d(Y)$, $d(Z)$
- We use lower case letters for values from domains

- $X=x$ asserts: RV X has taken on value x
- $P(x)$ is shorthand for $P(X=x)$

Domains

- In the simplest case, domains are boolean
- In general may include many different values
- Most general case: domains may be continuous
- This introduces some special complications

Kolmogorov's axioms of probability

- $0 \leq P(a) \leq 1$
- $P(\text{true}) = 1$; $P(\text{false}) = 0$
- $P(a \text{ or } b) = P(a) + P(b) - P(a \text{ and } b)$
- Subtract to correct for double counting

- This is sufficient to completely specify probability theory for discrete variables
- Continuous variables need density functions

Atomic Events

- When several variables are involved, it is useful to think about atomic events
- An atomic event is a complete assignment to variables in the domain (compare with states in search)
- Atomic events are mutually exclusive
- Exhaust space of all possible events
- For n binary variables, how many unique atomic events are there?

Joint Distributions

- A joint distribution is an assignment of probabilities to every possible atomic event
- We can define all other probabilities in terms of the joint probabilities by *marginalization*:

$$P(a) = P(a \wedge b) + P(a \wedge \neg b)$$

$$P(a) = \sum_{e_i \in e(a)} P(e_i)$$

Example

- $P(\text{cold} \wedge \text{headache}) = 0.4$
- $P(\neg \text{cold} \wedge \text{headache}) = 0.2$
- $P(\text{cold} \wedge \neg \text{headache}) = 0.3$
- $P(\neg \text{cold} \wedge \neg \text{headache}) = 0.1$

- What are $P(\text{cold})$ and $P(\text{headache})$?

Independence

- If A and B are independent:
 $P(A \wedge B) = P(A)P(B)$

- $P(\text{cold} \wedge \text{headache}) = 0.4$
- $P(\neg \text{cold} \wedge \text{headache}) = 0.2$
- $P(\text{cold} \wedge \neg \text{headache}) = 0.3$
- $P(\neg \text{cold} \wedge \neg \text{headache}) = 0.1$

- Are cold and headache independent?

Independence

- If A and B are mutually exclusive:
 $P(A \vee B) = P(A) + P(B)$ (Why?)

- Examples of independent events:
 - Duke winning NCAA, Dem. winning white house
 - Two successive, fair coin flips
 - My car starting and my stereo working
 - etc.

Why Probabilities Are Messy

- Probabilities are not truth-functional
- To compute $P(a$ and $b)$ we need to consult the joint distribution
 - sum out all of the other variables from the distribution
 - It is not a function of $P(a)$ and $P(b)$
 - It is not a function of $P(a)$ and $P(b)$
 - It is not a function of $P(a)$ and $P(b)$
- This fact led to many approximations methods such as certainty factors and fuzzy logic (Why?)
- Neat vs. Scruffy...

The Scruffy Trap

- Reasoning about probabilities correctly requires knowledge of the joint distribution
- This is exponentially large
- Very convenient to assume independence
- Assuming independence when there is not independence leads to incorrect answers
- Examples:
 - ANDing symptoms
 - ORing symptoms

Conditional Probabilities

- Ordinary probabilities for random variables:
unconditional or prior probabilities
- $P(a|b) = P(a \text{ AND } b)/P(b)$
- This tells us the probability of a **given that we know *only* b**
- If we know c and d, we can't use $P(a|b)$ directly
- Annoying, but solves the qualification problem...

Probability Solves the Qualification Problem

- $P(\text{disease}|\text{symptom1})$
- This defines the probability of a disease given that we have observed only symptom1
- The conditioning bar indicates that the probability is defined with respect to a particular state of knowledge, *not as an absolute thing*

Conditioning and Belief Update

- Suppose we know $P(ABCDE)$ ← Joint
- Observe $B=b$, update our beliefs:

$$P(acde | b) = \frac{P(abcde)}{P(b)} = \frac{P(abcde)}{\sum_{ACDE} P(AbCDE)}$$

Condition with Bayes's Rule

$$P(A \wedge B) = P(B \wedge A)$$

$$P(A | B)P(B) = P(B | A)P(A)$$

$$P(A | B) = \frac{P(B | A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

Example Revisited

- $P(\text{cold} \wedge \text{headache}) = 0.4$
- $P(\neg \text{cold} \wedge \text{headache}) = 0.2$
- $P(\text{cold} \wedge \neg \text{headache}) = 0.3$
- $P(\neg \text{cold} \wedge \neg \text{headache}) = 0.1$
- What is $P(\text{cold}|\text{headache})$?

Let's Play Doctor

- Suppose $P(\text{cold}) = 0.7$, $P(\text{headache}) = 0.6$
- $P(\text{headache}|\text{cold}) = 0.57$
- What is $P(\text{cold}|\text{headache})$?

$$\begin{aligned} P(c|h) &= \frac{P(h|c)P(c)}{P(h)} \\ &= \frac{0.57 * 0.7}{0.6} = 0.665 \end{aligned}$$

- **IMPORTANT:** Not always symmetric

Expectation

- Most of us use expectation in some form when we compute averages
- What is the average value of a die roll?
- $(1+2+3+4+5+6)/6 = 3.5$

Bias

- What if not all events are equally likely?
- Suppose weighted die makes 6 2X more likely than anything else. What is average value of outcome?
- $(1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 6)/7 = 3.86$
- Probs: $1/7$ for $1 \dots 5$, and $2/7$ for 6
- $(1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5) * 1/7 + 6 * 2/7 = 3.86$

Expectation in General

- Suppose we have some RV X
- Suppose we have some function $f(X)$
- What is the expected value of $f(X)$?

$$E_x f(x) = \sum_x P(X) f(X)$$

Sums of Expectations

- Suppose we have $f(X)$ and $g(Y)$.
- What is the expected value of $f(X)+g(Y)$?

$$\begin{aligned} E_{X,Y} f(X) + g(Y) &= \sum_{X,Y} P(X \wedge Y) (f(X) + g(Y)) \\ &= \sum_{X,Y} P(X \wedge Y) f(X) + \sum_{X,Y} P(X \wedge Y) g(Y) \\ &= \sum_X \sum_Y P(X \wedge Y) f(X) + \sum_Y \sum_X P(X \wedge Y) g(Y) \\ &= \sum_X f(x) \sum_Y P(X \wedge Y) + \sum_Y g(Y) \sum_X P(X \wedge Y) \\ &= \sum_X f(x) P(X) + \sum_Y g(Y) \sum_X P(X \wedge Y) \\ &= E_X f(X) + E_Y g(Y) \end{aligned}$$