

Machine Learning Intro

CPS 271
Ron Parr

Contact Information

- Professor
 - Ron Parr
 - D209 LSRC, parr@cs.duke.edu, 660-6537
 - Office hours: M 10-11, Th 9-10
- TA
 - Susanna Ricco
 - D214 LSRC, sricco@cs.duke.edu, 660-6513
 - Office hours: M 3-4, W 1-2

About Me

- My eighth year at Duke
- Bachelor's degree in philosophy
 - Philosophy of mind
- Ph.D. in computer science
 - Hierarchical planning under uncertainty
- Current interests:
 - Planning under uncertainty
 - Reinforcement learning
 - Probabilistic reasoning
 - Game theory
 - Robotics

Requirements

- Some programming skills
 - Write small programs without drama
 - Useful for project
- Prerequisites
 - Short proofs
 - Basic algorithmic concepts
 - Complexity - $O()$
 - Analysis of algorithms
 - Math
 - Some calculus
 - Linear Algebra
 - Basic probability and statistics

Class Mechanics

- Reading
 - Textbook: *Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning*, Christopher M. Bishop
 - Supplementary handouts and papers (learning theory, reinforcement learning)
- Homeworks: 10%
 - Typically assigned on Tuesdays; due next Thursday
 - Discussion OK, write-up must be your own
- Project: 30%
 - Up to 2 person collaboration
- Midterm: 30%
 - Closed book, in class, no collaboration
- Final: 30%
 - Closed book, finals week, no collaboration

Some other ML Books

- *Neural Networks for Pattern Recognition*, Christopher M. Bishop
- *The Elements of Statistical Learning*, Trevor Hastie, Robert Tibshirani, & Jerome Friedman
- *Machine Learning*, Tom M. Mitchell

Major Topics Covered

- Foundations
 - Probability*
 - Statistics
 - Regularization & Learning Theory
 - Types of learning
 - Supervised Learning
 - Linear classifiers
 - Neural networks*
 - Support Vector Machines and Kernel Methods
 - Reinforcement Learning*
 - Decision Theory
 - MDPs
 - RL Algorithms
 - Unsupervised Learning
 - Clustering
 - PCA
 - Bayes nets* learning
 - HMM* learning
- (* = some overlap with 270)

Major Topics *Not* Covered

- Genetic algorithms
- Biological basis of learning

Project

- Typical: Apply ML to a problem of interest
 - Demonstrate success/failure of ML
 - Provide insight into what worked, what didn't
 - Evaluate alternatives
- Atypical but still possible
 - Propose, evaluate a new learning method
 - Prove new theoretical results

Why Study Learning?

- Considered a hallmark of intelligence
- Viewed as way to reduce programming burden
- Many algorithms assume parameters that are difficult to determine exactly a priori

Examples

- SPAM classification
- Distinguish healthy/diseased tissue
 - Skin cancers
 - Colon Cancers
- Financial events
 - Predict good/bad credit risks
 - Predict price changes
- Drilling sites likely to have oil
- Document categorization
- Predict if mushrooms are safe to eat
- Learn to play games
- Learn to control systems
 - Fly Helicopter
 - Optimize OS components
- Public database of learning problems:
 - <http://www.ics.uci.edu/~mllearn/MLSummary.html>

Who Does Machine Learning?

- In AI
 - Core AI topic (AAAI, IJCAI)
 - Specialized communities (ICML, NIPS)
- Databases (data mining - KDD)
- Used in (CS):
 - Vision
 - Systems
 - Comp. Bio
- Statistics

Who Does Machine Learning (@Duke)

- CS:
 - Faculty: Pankaj Agarwal, Vince Conitzer, Alex Hartemink, Kamesh Munagala, Ron Parr, Carlo Tomasi, Jun Yang
- ISDS (everybody, but especially):
 - Scott Schmidler, Sayan Mukherjee
- IGSP:
 - Terry Furey, Uwe Ohler
- Engineering:
 - Larry Carin, Silvia Ferrari, Rebecca Willett

Who Hires in Machine Learning?

- Universities
- Microsoft Research
- Search: Google/Yahoo/Amazon
- Defense contractors
- Some financial institutions (quietly)
- Many startups

- ML viewed as good background for many other tasks (robotics, vision, systems, engineering)

Where to Publish/Find ML Papers

- Conferences:
 - International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)
 - Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)
 - Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (UAI)
 - Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)
 - International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)
 - Knowledge Discovering in Databases (KDD)
- Journals
 - Journal of Machine Learning Research (JMLR)
 - Machine Learning Journal (JMLR)
 - Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research (JAIR)
 - Artificial Intelligence Journal (AIJ)
 - Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence (PAMI)
- Things I've probably left out
 - Venues related to applications
 - Statistics venues
 - Engineering & Signal Processing Venues

What is Machine Learning

- Learning Element
 - The thing that learns
- Performance Element
 - Objective measure of progress
- Learning is simply an increase in the ability of the learning element over time to achieve the task specified by the performance element

ML vs. Statistics?

- Machine learning is:
 - Younger
 - More empirical
 - More algorithmic
 - (arguably) More practical
 - (arguably) More decision theoretic
- Statistics is:
 - More mature
 - (arguably) More formal and rigorous

ML vs. Data Mining

- Machine Learning is:
 - (Arguably) more formal
 - (Arguably) more task driven/decision theoretic
- Data Mining is:
 - More constrained by size of data set
 - More closely tied to database techniques

Types of Learning

- Inductive Learning
 - Acquiring new information that previously was not available
 - Learning concepts
- Speedup learning
 - Learning to do something you already “know” faster or better

Feedback in Learning

- Supervised Learning
 - Given examples of correct behavior
- Unsupervised Learning
 - No external notion of what is correct
 - Is this well-defined?
- Reinforcement Learning
 - Indirect indication of effectiveness

Learning Methodology

- Distinction between training and testing is crucial
- Correct performance on training set is just memorization!
- Researcher should **never** look at the test data
- Raises some troubling issues for “benchmark” learning problems

Computational Learning Theory

- Formal study of what can be learned from data
- Closely related to ML, but also to CS theory
- Assumptions:
 - Training examples must be representative
 - Algorithm needn't *always* work, but should scale well
- Goals:
 - Algorithms that have a low error rate with high probability
 - Good characterization of how performance scales

Example: Supervised Learning

- Classical framework
- Target concept, e.g., green
- Learner is presented with labeled instances
 - **True:** Green cones, green cubes, green spheres
 - **False:** Red cones, red cubes, red spheres, blue cones, blue cubes, blue spheres
- Learner must correctly identify the target concept from the training data

Performance Measure

- Training set won't have all possible objects
- Test set will contain novel objects
 - Blue cylinders, yellow tetrahedra
- To learn successfully, learner must have good performance when confronted w/novel objects
 - This is what we would expect from people
 - A blue Broccolisaurus is still blue



Why Learning Is Tricky

- Suppose we have seen:
 - Red tetrahedron(f), Blue sphere(t), Blue cone(t), green cube(f)
- Possible concepts:
 - Blue
 - (Blue Sphere) or (Blue Cone)
 - Objects a prime number from start
 - Objects with a circular cross-section
- What if some data are mislabeled?

Learning and Representation

- Learning is very sensitive to representation
- Every learning algorithm can be viewed as a *search through a space of concepts*
- Space of concepts determines
 - Difficulty of task
 - Appropriate algorithm
 - Restricting too aggressively can trivialize problem
 - Failure to restrict (or regularize) can trivialize the problem
- Example Space: Conjunctions of colors and shapes
 - Eliminates primes and (possibly) cross sections

Management of the Hypothesis Space

- Ockham's Razor:
 - All things being equal, favor the simplest consistent hypothesis
 - Guiding principle of science, e.g., Einstein:
In my opinion the theory here is the logically simplest relativistic field theory that is at all possible. But this does not mean that nature might not obey a more complex theory. More complex theories have frequently been proposed... In my view, such more complicated systems and their combinations should be considered only if there exist physical-empirical reasons to do so.
- Ockham's razor is not provably correct, but
 - Computational learning theory shows us that the more choices we have, the more data we need to distinguish reliably among these choices
 - Well known trade off between bias and variance
 - How many points do you need to fit a degree 2 polynomial?
 - How many points do you need to fit a degree 100 polynomial?
- Ockham's razor is embodied in a wide range of methods

How to Succeed with Machine Learning

- Theoretical/algorithmic success
 - Maneuver through space of hypotheses efficiently
 - Efficiency
 - Make good use of data
 - Make good use of time
- Practical Success
 - Getting something to learn can be hard (my job!)
 - Know your problem!
 - Pick training data carefully
 - Craft hypothesis space