

- Algorithm is always correct but running time bounds hold in expectation)
- e.g. Randomized Quicksort
  - review quicksort
  - worst case:  $T(n) = T(n-1) + O(1) \Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$

In randomized quicksort, pick pivot uniformly at random in each subproblem.

### Analysis 1 (Backward Analysis)

In step  $k$ , # of pivots increases from  $k-1$  to  $k$  by selecting a random pivot from the elements not selected yet. Looking backwards, in step  $k$  (steps are still indexed from the beginning), the # of pivots decreases from  $k$  to  $k-1$ .

Claim: Given a set of  $k$  pivots at the end of step  $k$ , the pivot that was selected in the  $k$ th step is uniformly distributed among these  $k$  pivots.

Proof: For any two elements, their relative order of being selected as pivots is uniform.

Lemma: The expected cost in step  $k$  is  $\leq 2n/k$ .

Proof: The sum of costs over all the  $k$  pivots being the last one is  $\leq 2n$ .

Cor: The expected cost of randomized quicksort is  $O(n \log n)$ .

## Analysis 2

Consider the comparisons that an element  $x_i$  is part of. If such a comparison splits the subproblem in  $(3/4, 1/4)$  or a more balanced ratio, call it a "good" comparison; prob. of good comparison  $\geq \frac{1}{2}$ .

Fact:  $x_i$  is in  $\leq \log_{4/3} n$  good comparisons.

Lemma:  $\Pr(\# \text{ of comparisons for } x_i \text{ till you get } k \text{ good comparisons} > (1+\epsilon)k) \leq e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2 \cdot 2k}{3}}$ .

Proof: Since comparisons being good or bad are independent, we use Chernoff bounds.

Choose  $\epsilon = \sqrt{3 \ln(4/3)}$  and  $k = \log_{4/3} n$

$$\Pr(\# \text{ of comparisons for } x_i > (1 + \sqrt{3 \ln(4/3)}) \log_{4/3} n) \leq \frac{1}{n^3}$$

$$\Pr(\exists x_i \text{ s.t. } \# \text{ of comparisons for } x_i = \omega(\log n)) \leq \frac{1}{n^2}$$

$$\Pr(\text{Running time} = O(n \log n)) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{n^2}$$