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Synthetic Data

• Rather than have a system for performing query answering, release a synthetic dataset.

• Analyst can now perform arbitrary analysis on this synthetic dataset.

• Very popular amongst statisticians
  – For ensuring privacy
  – For imputing (filling in) missing values
This Class

• Synthetic Data in the US Census

• Synthetic Data: What can we achieve?
OnTheMap: A Census application that plots commuting patterns of workers

http://onthemap.ces.census.gov/
OnTheMap: A Census application that plots commuting patterns of workers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Worker ID</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Destination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1223</td>
<td>MD11511</td>
<td>DC22122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1332</td>
<td>MD2123</td>
<td>DC22122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1432</td>
<td>VA11211</td>
<td>DC22122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2345</td>
<td>PA12121</td>
<td>DC24132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1432</td>
<td>PA11212</td>
<td>DC24132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1665</td>
<td>MD11211</td>
<td>DC24132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1244</td>
<td>DC22122</td>
<td>DC22122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Why publish commute patterns?

• To compute Quarterly Workforce Indicators
  – Total employment
  – Average Earnings
  – New Hires & Separations
  – Unemployment Statistics

E.g., Missouri state used this data to formulate a method allowing **QWI to suggest industrial sectors where transitional training might be most effective** ... to proactively reduce time spent on unemployment insurance ...
A Synthetic Data Generator (Dirichlet resampling)

**Step 1: Noise Addition (for each destination)**

- **D (7, 5, 4)**
  - Multi-set of Origins for workers in Washington DC.

- **A (2, 3, 3)**
  - Noise (fake workers)

- **D+A (9, 8, 7)**
  - Noise infused data

*Noise added to an origin with at least 1 worker is > 0*
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A Synthetic Data Generator (Dirichlet resampling)

Step 2: **Dirichlet Resampling** *(for each destination)*

- **Draw a point at random**
- **(9, 8, 7)**
- **Replace two of the same kind.**

**S : Synthetic Data**

*frequency of block $b$ in D+A = 0 ➞ frequency of $b$ in S = 0*

i.e., block $b$ is ignored by the algorithm.
How should we add noise?

- Intuitively, more noise yields more privacy ...
- How much noise should we add?
- To which blocks should we add noise?

Currently this is poorly understood.
  - Total amount of noise added is a state secret
  - Only 3-4 people in the US know this value in the current implementation of OnTheMap.
Privacy of Synthetic Data

Theorem 1:
The Dirichlet resampling algorithm preserves privacy if and only if for every destination \( d \), the noise added to each block is at least

\[
\frac{m(d)}{\varepsilon - 1}
\]

where \( m(d) \) is the size of the synthetic population for destination \( d \) and \( \varepsilon \) is the privacy parameter.
1. How much noise should we add?

Noise required per block: (differential privacy)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Privacy ((e^\varepsilon =))</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noise per block ((x 10^6))</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 million original and synthetic workers.

2. To which blocks should we add noise?

Add noise to every block on the map.

There are 8 million Census blocks on the map!

1 million original workers and 16 billion fake workers!!!
Intuition behind Theorem 1.

Two possible inputs

$D_1$    $D_2$

Adversary knows individual 1 is Either blue or red.

Adversary knows individuals [2..n] are blue.

blue and red are two different origin blocks.
Intuition behind Theorem 1.

Two possible inputs

\( D_1 \) \hspace{1cm} \( D_2 \)

Noise Addition

blue and red are two different origin blocks.
Intuition behind Theorem 1.

Noise infused inputs

For every output ...

\[ \Pr[D_1 \rightarrow O] = \frac{1}{10} \times \frac{2}{11} \times \frac{3}{12} \times \frac{4}{13} \times \frac{5}{14} \times \frac{6}{15} \]

\[ \Pr[D_2 \rightarrow O] = \frac{2}{10} \times \frac{3}{11} \times \frac{4}{12} \times \frac{5}{13} \times \frac{6}{14} \times \frac{7}{15} \]

\[ \frac{\Pr[D_1 \rightarrow O]}{\Pr[D_2 \rightarrow O]} = 7 \]

blue and red are two different origin blocks.
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Intuition behind Theorem 1.

Noise infused inputs

For every output ...

$D_1$ $D_2$

Dirichlet Resampling

$O$

Adversary infers that it is very likely individual 1 is red ...

... unless noise added is very large.

blue and red are two different origin blocks.
Privacy Analysis: Summary

• Chose differential privacy.
  – Guards against powerful adversaries.
  – Measures privacy as a distance between prior and posterior.

• Derived necessary and sufficient conditions when OnTheMap preserves privacy.

• The above conditions make the data published by OnTheMap useless.
But, breach occurs with very low probability.

Noise infused inputs

For every output ...

\[ D_1 \quad D_2 \]

\[ \text{Dirichlet Resampling} \]

\[ O \]

Probability of \( O \approx 10^{-4} \)

blue and red are two different origin blocks.
Negligible function

Definition:

$f(x)$ is negligible if it goes to 0 faster than the inverse of any polynomial.

* e.g., $2^{-x}$ and $e^{-x}$ are negligible functions.
\((\varepsilon, \delta)\)-Indistinguishability

For every pair of inputs that differ in one value

\[
\Pr[D_1 \rightarrow T] \leq e^{\varepsilon} \Pr[D_2 \rightarrow T] + \delta(|D_2|)
\]

For any subset of outputs \(T\)

If \(T\) occurs with negligible probability, the adversary is allowed to distinguish between \(D_1\) and \(D_2\) by a factor \(> \varepsilon\) using \(O_i\) in \(T\).
Conditions for \((\varepsilon, \delta)\)-Indistinguishability

Theorem 2:
The Dirichlet resampling algorithm preserves \((\varepsilon, \delta)\)-indistinguishability if for every destination \(d\), the noise added to each block is at least

\[
\log n(d)
\]

where
\(n(d)\) is the number of workers commuting to \(d\) and \(m(d) \leq n(d)\).
Probabilistic Differential Privacy

- (ε,δ)-Indistinguishability is an asymptotic measure
  - May not guarantee privacy when number of workers at a destination is small.

**Definition** (Disclosure Set $Disc(D, \varepsilon)$):
The set of output tables that breach $\varepsilon$-differential privacy for $D$ and some other table $D'$ that differs from $D$ in one value.
Probabilistic Differential Privacy

For every pair of inputs that differ in one value

\[ D_1 \quad D_2 \]

Adversary may distinguish between \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) based on a set of unlikely outputs with probability at most \( \delta \)

\[
\Pr[O \mid \frac{\Pr[D_1 \rightarrow O]}{\Pr[D_2 \rightarrow O]} < e^\epsilon] > 1 - \delta
\]

For every probable output

\[ O \]
1. How much noise should we add?

Noise required per block:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Privacy ($e^\varepsilon =$)</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noise 5</td>
<td>25x10^4</td>
<td>11x10^4</td>
<td>5x10^4</td>
<td>2x10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise 10</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lesser privacy

Differential Privacy

Probabilistic Differential Privacy ($\delta = 10^{-5}$)

1 million original and synthetic workers.
Prob. Differential Privacy: Summary

• Ignoring privacy breaches that occur due to low probability outputs drastically reduces noise.

• Two ways to bound low probability outputs
  – $(\varepsilon,\delta)$-Indistinguishability and Negligible functions.
    Noise required for privacy $\geq (\log n(d))$ per block
  – $(\varepsilon,\delta)$-Probabilistic differential privacy and Disclosure sets.
    Efficient algorithm to calculate noise per block (see paper).

• Does probabilistic differential privacy allow useful information to be published?
1. How much noise should we add?

Noise required per block:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Privacy (e^ε =)</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>25x10^4</td>
<td>11x10^4</td>
<td>5x10^4</td>
<td>2x10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

lesser privacy  
Differential Privacy  
Probabilistic Differential Privacy (δ = 10^-5)

1 million original and synthetic workers.

2. To which blocks should we add noise?

Why not add noise to every block?
Why not add noise to every block?

Noise required per block: (probabilistic differential privacy)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Privacy (ε =)</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noise per block</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• There are about **8 million** blocks on the map!
  – Total noise added is about **6 million**.

• Causes non-trivial spurious commute patterns.
  – Roughly 1 million fake workers from West Coast (out of a total 7 million points in D+A).
  – Hence, 1/7 of the synthetic data have residences in West Coast and work in Washington DC.
2. To which blocks should we add noise?

Noise required per block: (probabilistic differential privacy)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Privacy ($\varepsilon =$)</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noise per block</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adding noise to all blocks creates spurious commute patterns.

Why not add noise only to blocks that appear in the original data?
Theorem 3: Adding noise only to blocks that appear in the data breaches privacy.

If a block $b$ does not appear in the original data and no noise is added to $b$

then $b$ cannot appear in the synthetic data.
Theorem 3: Adding noise only to blocks that appear in the data breaches privacy.

- Worker $W$ comes from **Somerset** or **Fayette**.
- No one else comes from there.
- If
  - $S$ has a synthetic worker from **Somerset**
- Then
  - $W$ comes from Somerset!!
Ignoring outliers degrades utility

- Each of these points are outliers.
- Contribute to about half the workers.
Our solution to “Where to add noise?”

Step 1: **Coarsen the domain**
- Based on an existing public dataset (Census Transportation Planning Package, CTPP).
Our solution to “Where to add noise?”

Step 1: Coarsen the domain

Step 2: Probabilistically drop blocks with 0 support

- Pick a function $f: \{b_1, ..., b_k\} \rightarrow (0,1]$ (based on external data)
- For every block $b$ with 0 support, ignore $b$ with probability $f(b)$

Theorem 4:
Parameter $\varepsilon$ increases by $\max_b \left( \max (2^{\text{noise per block}}, f(b)) \right)$
Utility of the provably private algorithm

Utility measured by average commute distance for each destination block.

Experimental Setup:

- **OTM**: Currently published OnTheMap data used as original data.
- All destinations in Minnesota.
- 120, 690 origins per destination.
  - chosen by pruning out blocks that are > 100 miles from the destination.
- \(\varepsilon = 100, \delta = 10^{-5}\)
- Additional leakage due to probabilistic pruning = 4 \(\text{(min } f(b) = 0.0378)\)
Utility of the provably private algorithm

*Utility measured by average commute distance for each destination block.*

- Short commutes have low error in both sparse and dense regions.
Utility of the provably private algorithm

Long commutes in sparse regions are overestimated.

Lecture 18: 590.03 Fall 13
OnTheMap: Summary

• OnTheMap: A real census application.
  – Synthetically generated data published for economic research.
  – Currently, privacy implications are poorly understood.
    • Parameters to the algorithm are **state secret**.

• First formal privacy analysis of this application.
  – Analyzed the privacy of OnTheMap using variants of Differential Privacy.
  – First solutions to publish useful information despite sparse data.
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