


CompSci 100e

Program Design and Analysis II



March 29, 2011

Prof. Rodger

Announcements

- One APT next week – BSTCount
 - Will do in class
- Written Assignment lists/trees due March 31
- New assignment Boggle due April 7
 - Will do part of it in lab (last time, and next lab)
- Today
 - More on trees and analysis with trees
 - Recurrence relations


More on Trees

- Focus on binary trees
 - Includes binary search trees
 - Process tree: root (subtree) (subtree)
 - Analyze recursive tree functions
 - Recurrence relation

Review: Printing a search tree in order

- When is *root* printed?
 - After left *subtree*, before right *subtree*.

```
void visit(TreeNode t){  
    if (t != null) {  
        visit(t.left);  
        System.out.println(t.info);  
        visit(t.right);  
    }  
}
```



- *Inorder traversal*
- *How long for n nodes?*
 - $O()$?

Tree functions


- Compute height of a tree, what is complexity?

```
int height(Tree root) {
    if (root == null) return 0;
    else {
        return 1 + Math.max(height(root.left),
                             height(root.right) );
    }
}
```

- Modify function to compute number of nodes in a tree, does complexity change?
 - What about computing number of leaf nodes?

Balanced Trees and Complexity

- A tree is height-balanced if
 - Left and right subtrees are height-balanced
 - Left and right heights differ by at most one



```
boolean isBalanced(Tree root){
    if (root == null) return true;
    return
        isBalanced(root.left) && isBalanced(root.right) &&
        Math.abs(height(root.left) - height(root.right)) <= 1;
}
```

What is complexity?

- Consider worst case? What does the tree look like?
- Consider average case? Assume trees are "balanced" in analyzing complexity
 - Roughly half the nodes in each subtree
 - Leads to easier analysis
- How to develop recurrence relation?
 - What is $T(n)$?
 - What other work is done?
- How to solve recurrence relation – formula for recursion
- Plug, expand, plug, expand, find pattern
 - A real proof requires induction to verify correctness

Solving Recurrence Relation

- Recurrence relation is a formula that models how much time the method takes.
- $T(n)$ – the time it takes to solve a problem of size n
- Basis – smallest case you know how to solve, such as $n=0$ or $n=1$
- If two recursive calls formula might be:
 - $T(n) = T(\text{smaller problem}) + T(\text{smaller problem}) + \text{work to put answer together...}$
- On the right side, replace $T(\text{smaller})$ by plugging it in to the formula

Solving Recurrence Relation (cont)

- Continue replacing the $T(\text{smaller})$ values until you see a pattern – use k for the pattern
- Then solve for k with respect to N to get a basis case that has a constant value – this removes the T term from the right hand side of the equation and you are left with $T(N) =$ to terms of N and can easily compute big-Oh

What is average big-Oh for height?

- Write a recurrence relation
- $T(0) =$
- $T(1) =$
- $T(n) =$

What is worst case big-Oh for height?

- Write a recurrence relation
- $T(0) =$
- $T(1) =$
- $T(n) =$

What is average case big-Oh for is-balanced?

- Write a recurrence relation
- $T(1) =$
- $T(n) =$

Recognizing Recurrences

- Solve once, re-use in new contexts
 - T must be explicitly identified
 - n must be some measure of size of input/parameter
 - T(n) is for quicksort to run on an n-element array

$T(n) = T(n/2) + O(1)$	binary search	$O(\log n)$
$T(n) = T(n-1) + O(1)$	sequential search	$O(n)$
$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(1)$	tree traversal	$O(n)$
$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$	quicksort	$O(n \log n)$
$T(n) = T(n-1) + O(n)$	selection sort	$O(n^2)$

- Remember the algorithm, re-derive complexity

BSTCount APT

- Given values for a binary search tree, how many unique trees are there?
 - 1 value = one tree
 - 2 values = two trees
 - 3 values = 5 trees
 - N values = ? trees
- Will memoize help?

Recurrences

- If $T(n) = T(n-1) + O(1)$... where do we see this?

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + O(1)$$

true for all X so, $T(n-1) = T(n-2) + O(1)$

$$T(n) = [T(n-2) + 1] + 1 = T(n-2) + 2$$

$$= [T(n-3) + 1] + 2 = T(n-3) + 3$$

- True for 1, 2, so eureka! We see a pattern

$$T(n) = T(n-k) + k, \text{ true for all } k, \text{ let } n=k$$

$$T(n) = T(n-n) + n = T(0) + n = n$$

- We could solve, we could prove, or remember!