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## Risk attitudes

- Which would you prefer?
- A lottery ticket that pays out $\$ 10$ with probability .5 and $\$ 0$ otherwise, or
- A lottery ticket that pays out $\$ 3$ with probability 1
- How about:
- A lottery ticket that pays out $\$ 100,000,000$ with probability .5 and $\$ 0$ otherwise, or
- A lottery ticket that pays out $\$ 30,000,000$ with probability 1
- Usually, people do not simply go by expected value
- An agent is risk-neutral if she only cares about the expected value of the lottery ticket
- An agent is risk-averse if she always prefers the expected value of the lottery ticket to the lottery ticket
- Most people are like this
- An agent is risk-seeking if she always prefers the lottery ticket to the expected value of the lottery ticket


## Decreasing marginal utility

- Typically, at some point, having an extra dollar does not make people much happier (decreasing marginal utility)



## Maximizing expected utility



- Lottery 1: get $\$ 1500$ with probability 1
- gives expected utility 2
- Lottery 2: get $\$ 5000$ with probability $.4, \$ 200$ otherwise
- gives expected utility . $4 * 3+.6 * 1=1.8$
- (expected amount of money $\left.=.4^{*} \$ 5000+.6^{*} \$ 200=\$ 2120>\$ 1500\right)$
- So: maximizing expected utility is consistent with risk aversion


## Different possible risk attitudes

 under expected utility maximization

- Green has decreasing marginal utility $\rightarrow$ risk-averse
- Blue has constant marginal utility $\rightarrow$ risk-neutral
- Red has increasing marginal utility $\rightarrow$ risk-seeking
- Grey's marginal utility is sometimes increasing, sometimes decreasing $\rightarrow$ neither risk-averse (everywhere) nor risk-seeking (everywhere)


## What is utility, anyway?

- Function u: $\mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ ( O is the set of "outcomes" that lotteries randomize over)
-What are its units?
- It doesn't really matter
- If you replace your utility function by $u^{\prime}(0)=a+$ bu(o), your behavior will be unchanged
- Why would you want to maximize expected utility?
- This is a question about preferences over lotteries


## Compound lotteries

- For two lottery tickets L and L', let pL + (1-p)L' be the "compound" lottery ticket where you get lottery ticket $L$ with probability $p$, and L' with probability 1-p



## Sufficient conditions for expected utility

- $L \geq$ L' means that $L$ is (weakly) preferred to L' - ( $\geq$ should be complete, transitive)
- Expected utility theorem. Suppose
- (continuity axiom) for all L, L', L", \{p: pL + (1-p)L' $\geq$ L"\} and $\left\{p: p L+(1-p) L^{\prime} \leq L^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ are closed sets, and
- (independence axiom - more controversial) for all $L, L^{\prime}, L^{\prime \prime}, p>0$, we have $L \geq L^{\prime}$ if and only if $p L+(1-$ p)L" $\geq$ pL' $+(1-p) L^{\prime \prime}$

Then, there exists a function $u: O \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ so that $L$ $\geq$ L' if and only if $L$ gives a higher expected value of $u$ than L'

