## Algorithms <br> Professor John Reif

## ALG 1.0

## Introduction:

Efficient Algorithms For The Problem Of Computing Fibonocci Numbers

Main Reading Selection: CLR, Chapter 1

Auxillary Reading Selection:
BB Chapter 1 and Section 4.7

## GOAL

devise algorithms to solve problems on Sun machines, e.g. Sun-2

assume each step (a mult, add, or control branch)<br>takes $1 \mu \mathrm{sec}=10 \mathrm{sec}$ on 16 bit words



Fibonocci Sequence
$0,1,1,2,3,5,8,13, \ldots . . . .$.

## Recursive Definition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}= & \text { if } \mathrm{n} \leq 1 \text { then } \mathrm{n} \\
& \text { else } F_{n-1}+F_{n-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Problem

Compute F $1,000,000,000$
fast on a SUN-2

Can show as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$
F_{n} \sim \frac{\phi^{n}-(-\phi)^{-n}}{\sqrt{5}}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { golden ratio } \\
\phi=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}=1.62 \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\text { So } F_{n} \sim .45 \cdot 2^{.7 n} \text { is }
$$

.7n bit number
grows exponentially!

## Obvious Method

$$
F_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
n \text { if } n \leq 1 \\
F_{n-1}+F_{n-2} \quad \text { if } n>1
\end{array}\right.
$$

costs at least $\frac{n}{2}$ adds of

$$
\frac{.7 n}{2}=.35 n \text { bit numbers }
$$

Total Cost $\geq\left(\frac{\mathrm{n}}{2}\right.$ adds $)\left(\frac{.35 \mathrm{n} \text { bits }}{16 \text { bits }}\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\geq . .01 \mathrm{n}^{2} \text { steps } \\
\geq 10^{16} \mu \mathrm{sec} \text { for } \mathrm{n}=10^{9} \\
=10^{10} \mathrm{sec} \\
\sim \quad 317 \text { years ! }
\end{gathered}
$$

## WANTED! <br> An Efficient Algorithm for $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$

## Weapons:

--Special Properties of computational problem
$=$ combinatorics (in this case)

## THEOREM:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)^{n}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
F_{n+1} & F_{n} \\
F_{n} & F_{n-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## proof by Induction

Basis Step
Holds by definition for $\mathrm{n}=1$
Inductive Step
Assume holds for some n>0

$$
\text { fix } \quad M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

"Powering Trick"

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)^{n+1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
F_{n+1} & F_{n} \\
F_{n} & F_{n-1}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
F_{n+1}+F_{n} & F_{n}+F_{n-1} \\
F_{n+1} & F_{n}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
F_{n+2} & F_{n+1} \\
F_{n+1} & F_{n}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

To compute M
when n is a power of 2
for $\mathrm{i}=1$ to $\quad{ }_{\mathrm{L}} \log \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{J}}$ do
$M^{2^{i}}=\left(M^{2^{i-1}}\right) \times\left(M^{2^{i-1}}\right)$
gives $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}^{2}, M^{2^{2}}, \ldots M^{2^{L^{\circ}}}$

## In general case decompose $\quad \mathrm{n}=2^{\mathrm{j} 1}+2^{\mathrm{j} 2}+\ldots+2^{\mathrm{jk}}$ as sum of powers of 2



New Algorithm $\mathrm{M}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$
(1) compute $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}^{2,}, \ldots, \mathbf{M}^{2 \operatorname{logn}}$ by power method
(2) if $n=2^{j_{1}}+2^{j_{2}}+\ldots+2^{j_{k}}$

$$
\text { then } M^{n}=\prod_{i=1, \ldots, k} M^{2^{j} i_{i}}
$$

(3) output $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ upper right of $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}}$

```
cost
    = 2 log n matrix products
        on symmetric matrices
    of size 2x2
```


## Each matrix product costs 6 integer mults

## Total Cost

$=12(\log n)$ integer mults
$\geq 360$ for $\mathrm{n}=10^{9}$ integer mults !

```
Recall F n ~ . }45
    so F n
```

is $\mathrm{m}=.7 \mathrm{n}$ bit integer
New Method
to compute $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$
requires
multiplying m bit number
${ }^{\text {But }}$ Grammar School Method
for Mult takes $\quad m^{2}$ bool ops
$=\frac{\mathrm{m}^{2}}{16}$ steps on Sun-2
$\sim 10^{16}$ steps for $\mathbf{n}=10^{9}$
$\sim 10^{16} \mu \mathrm{sec}$
$\sim 10^{10}$ seconds
~ 317 years!

## Fast Multiplication

## "3 Mult Trick"

of $m$ bit integers $a, b$ by

$a \cdot b=a_{L} b_{L} 2^{2 k}+\left(a_{L} b_{R}+a_{R} b_{L}\right) 2^{k}+a_{R} b_{R}$
seems to take 4 mults , but....

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { (1) } \mathrm{x} & =\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{L}} \cdot \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{L}} \\
\text { (2) } \mathrm{y} & =\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{R}} \cdot \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{R}} \\
\text { (3) } \mathrm{z} & =\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{R}}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)-(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}) \\
& =\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{L}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Requires only 3 mults
on $\frac{m}{2}$-bit integers $\quad$ and 6 adds on m-bits
$a \cdot b=a_{L} b_{L} 2^{2 k}+\left(a_{L} b_{R}+a_{R} b_{L}\right) 2^{k}+a_{R} b_{R}$
$=x 2^{2 k}+z \cdot 2^{k}+y$
on $\underline{m}$ - bit integers and $\mathbf{6}$ adds on m-bits

$$
\begin{gathered}
a \cdot b=a_{L} b_{L} 2^{2 k}+\left(a_{L} b_{R}+a_{R} b_{L}\right) 2^{k}+a_{R} b_{R} \\
=x 2^{2 k}+z \cdot 2^{k}+y
\end{gathered}
$$

## Recursive Mult Algorithm



## Schonhage - Strassen Integer Multiplication Algorithm

(See AHU-Data page 270-274)
$10 m \log m \cdot \log \log m \quad$ bit ops
~. $7 \cdot 10^{12}$ bit ops for $\mathrm{m}=.7 \cdot 10^{9}$
$\sim 4.3 \cdot 10^{10}$ steps on Sun-2
~ $4.3 \cdot 10^{4}$ sec. on Sun-2
~ 3 days on Sun-2

## This is feasible to Compute!

## New Algorithm

computed $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}$ for $\mathbf{n}=10$
using $360=12 \log n$ integer mults each taking $\mathbf{\sim} 3$ days on Sun-2

> Total Time to compute $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}$
> ~ 3 years on single Sun-2 with $1 \mu \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{step}$
> (or 6 days on 5 nano sec/step Cray)

> | How did we get |
| :---: |
| sequential speed-up? |

(1) new trick
a $2 \log \mathrm{n}$ mult algorithm (rather than n adds)
(2) old trick
use known efficient algorithms for integer multiplication (rather than Grammar School mult)
(3) Also used careful analysis of efficiency of algorithms to compare methods

## Problem (to be pondered later...)

How fast can $10{ }^{9}$ integers be sorted on a Sun-2?

What algorithms would be used?

