## CMSC 858S: Randomized Algorithms Fall 2001

Handout 6: The vertex-connectivity of random graphs

In this short handout, we complete the discussion from class on the vertex-connectivity of random graphs. As mentioned in class, stronger results are known; see [1]. The discussion here is adapted from [2].

Recall the context. We choose a random graph G from G(n, p), where  $p = p(n) \ge (\ln^2 n)/n$ , say. Fix any constant  $\delta > 0$ , and let  $k = \lceil (n-1)p(1-2\delta) \rceil$ . We wish to prove that as  $n \to \infty$ , the probability that G is not k-vertex-connected, tends to 0. We now zoom to the point at which we stopped this argument in class. Let  $t = \lceil (n-1)p\delta \rceil$ , and s = k-1. We aim to show that

$$q = \binom{n}{s} \cdot \sum_{i=t}^{(n-s)/2} \binom{n-s}{i} \cdot (1-p)^{i(n-s-i)}$$

tends to 0 as  $n \to \infty$ , under the above-seen assumptions on  $p, \delta$  etc.

We start with some simplifications. We have

$$q = \binom{n}{\min\{s, n - s\}} \cdot \sum_{i=t}^{(n-s)/2} \binom{n - s}{i} \cdot (1 - p)^{i(n - s - i)}$$

$$\leq n^{\min\{s, n - s\}} \cdot \sum_{i=t}^{(n-s)/2} \binom{n - s}{i} \cdot (1 - p)^{i(n - s - i)}$$

$$\leq n^{\min\{s, n - s\}} \cdot \sum_{i=t}^{(n-s)/2} \binom{n - s}{i} \cdot e^{-ip(n - s - i)}$$

$$\leq n^{\min\{s, n - s\}} \cdot \sum_{i=t}^{(n-s)/2} \binom{n - s}{i} \cdot e^{-ip(n - s)/2} \text{ (since } i \leq (n - s)/2)$$

$$\leq n^{\min\{s, n - s\}} \cdot \sum_{i=t}^{(n-s)/2} (n - s)^{i} \cdot e^{-ip(n - s)/2}$$

$$= n^{\min\{s, n - s\}} \cdot \sum_{i=t}^{(n-s)/2} e^{i(\ln(n - s) - p(n - s)/2)}.$$
(1)

We now consider two cases. First suppose  $s \le n/2$ . Since  $n - s \ge n/2$ , we get from (1), if n is large enough, that

$$q \leq n^{s} \cdot \sum_{i=t}^{(n-s)/2} e^{i(\ln n - np/4)}$$

$$\leq n^{s} \cdot \sum_{i=t}^{(n-s)/2} e^{-\Omega(inp)} \text{ (since } np \gg \ln n)$$

$$\leq e^{O(np \ln n) - \Omega(npt)}$$

$$\to 0.$$

since  $t \gg \ln n$  for any fixed  $\delta > 0$ , as  $n \to \infty$ .

Next suppose s > n/2. Here, we must have  $p \ge 1/2$ ; so, (1) shows that

$$q \le n^{n-s} \cdot \sum_{i=t}^{(n-s)/2} e^{i(\ln(n-s)-(n-s)/4)}.$$

Note that  $n-s \ge 2\delta n$ . So, since  $\delta$  is some positive constant, we have when n gets arbitrarily large that  $\ln(n-s) \ll (n-s)/4$ . Thus,

$$q \leq n^{n-s} \cdot \sum_{i=t}^{(n-s)/2} e^{-\Omega(i(n-s))}$$

$$\leq e^{O((n-s)\ln n) - \Omega(t(n-s))}$$

$$\to 0,$$

again since  $t \gg \ln n$  and since (n-s) is arbitrarily large as  $n \to \infty$ .

## References

- [1] B. Bollobás. Random Graphs. Academic Press, London, 1985.
- [2] A. Srinivasan, K. G. Ramakrishnan, K. Kumaran, M. Aravamudan, and S. Naqvi. Optimal Design of Signaling Networks for Internet Telephony. In *Proc. IEEE Conference on Computer Communications*, pages 707–716, 2000.