Homework 2

- §1 Show that for each k > 0 there there is a language in PH that is not decidable by circuits of size n^k. (Hint: Diagonalization.)
- §2 Let H be a family of 2-universal hash functions mapping {0,1}ⁿ to {0,1}^m where n > m. Let S ⊆ {0,1}ⁿ have size at least 2^m. Show that

$$\Pr_{h \in \mathcal{H}}[h(S) \neq \{0, 1\}^m] \leq \frac{2^{2m+1}}{|S|}.$$

- §3 Let f, g : {0,1}* → N be functions and c > 1. We say that f approximates g within a factor c if for every string x, g(x) ≤ f(x) ≤ c ⋅ g(x). Show that for every g ∈ #P and every ε > 0, there is a function in FBPP^{SAT} that approximates g within a factor 1 + ε. (Hint: Use the previous Problem.)
- §4 The XOR casino offers the following game. A sequence of k cards all labelled with 0 or 1 is laid on the table face-down. The cards are chosen by the casino, with just one restriction (under state gambling laws): with probability at least p, all k cards must be 1. Now a card is picked uniformly at random and all the other cards are turned face up. You are asked to guess the number on the hidden card; if you guess correctly you receive a payoff of § 1.

This question explores what your expected payoff can be.

- (a) Suppose the casino's strategy is the following: with probability p make all cards 1 and otherwise make each card 1 with probability 1/2 and 0 with probability 1/2. Show that your expected payoff then is at most 1/2 + p/2, and that you have a strategy that achieves this payoff.
- (b) Now suppose the casino strategy is unknown to you (except you know that it obeys state laws). Suppose you use the following guessing strategy: if all k − 1 cards you saw had a 1, you guess that the k'th one is 1, otherwise you guess 0 or 1 with equal probability.

Show that then the casino has a strategy to make your expected payoff at most

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{2} - \frac{1-p}{2k}$$
.

- (c) Now suppose you adopt the following strategy: if among the k − 1 cards revealed to you, t cards have a 0 on them, then you guess with probability (1 + 2^{-t})/2 that the kth card has a 1 and with probability (1 − 2^{-t})/2 that it has a 0. Show that with this strategy your expected payoff is at least 1/2 + p/2 − 2^{-k/3}, irrespective of the casino's strategy (so long as it obeys state laws).
- §5 Use the previous question to prove the following version of the Yao XOR Lemma. Suppose f: {0,1}ⁿ → 0,1 is a function such that no circuit of size S(n) can, given a random x, predict f(x) with probability 3/4. Let f^{⊕k}: {0,1}^{(n+1)k} → 0,1 be the function that breaks its input into k parts y₁, y₂,..., y_k of n bits each and one part r of k bits. It computes the k-tuple (f(y₁), f(y₂),..., f(y_k)) and outputs its inner product (mod 2) with r.

Then no circuit of size t(nk) can predict $f^{\oplus k}$ for more than 1/2 + s(nk) fraction of inputs, where $poly(s(nk)t(nk)) \leq T(n)$. (Hint: The circuit could have hardwired answers to quite a few random inputs; think of these as the k-1 cards of the casino game.)