## Intro

This lecture will review everything you learned in 6.042.

- Basic tools in probability
- Expectations
- High probability events
- Deviations from expectation

## Coupon collecting.

- n coupon types. Get a random one each round. How long to get all coupons?
- general example of waiting for combinations of events to happen.
- expected case analysis:
  - after get k coupons, each sample has 1 k/n chance for new coupon
  - so wait for  $(k+1)^{st}$  coupon has geometric distribution.
  - expected value of geo dist w/param p is 1/p
  - so get harmonic sum
  - what standard tools did we use? using conditional expectation to study on phase; used linearity of expectation to add
  - expected time for all coupons:  $n \ln n + O(n)$ .

# Stable Marriage

Problem:

- complete preference lists
- stable if no two unmarried (to each other) people prefer each other.
- med school
- always exists.

Proof by proposal algorithm:

- rank men arbitrarily
- lowest unmarried man proposes in order of preference
- woman accepts if unmarried or prefers new proposal to current mate.

Time Analysis:

- woman's state only improves with time
- only n improvements per woman
- while unattached man, proposals continue
- (some woman available, since every woman he proposed to is married now)
- must eventually all be attached

#### Stability Analysis

- suppose X-y are dissatisfied with pairing X-x, Y-y.
- X proposed to y first
- y prefers current Y to X.

#### Average case analysis

- nonstandard for our course
- random preference lists
- how many proposals?
- principle of deferred decisions
  - used intuitively already
  - random choices all made in advance
  - random choices made algorithm needs them.
- used while discussing autopartition, quicksort
- Proposal algorithm:
  - each proposal is random among unchosen women
  - still hard
  - Each proposal among all women
  - stochastic domination: X s.d. Y when  $\Pr[X > z] \ge \Pr[Y > z]$  for all z.
  - done when all women get a proposal.
  - at each step 1/n chance women gts proposal
  - This is just coupon collection:  $O(n \log n)$

### **Deviations from Expectation**

Sometimes expectation isn't enough. Want to study *deviations*—**probability** and **magnitude** of deviation from expectation. Example: balls in bins:

- n balls in n bins
- Expected balls per bin: 1 (not very interesting)
- What is max balls we expect to see in a bin?
- Start by bounding probability of many balls

$$\Pr[k \text{ balls in bin } 1] = \binom{n}{k} (1/n)^k (1 - 1/n)^{n-k}$$
$$\leq \binom{n}{k} (1/n)^k$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{ne}{k}\right)^k (1/n)^k$$
$$= \left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^k$$

- So prob at least k balls is  $\sum_{j \ge k} (e/j)^j = O((e/k)^k)$  (geometric series)
- $\leq 1/n^2$  if  $k > (e \ln n) / \ln \ln n$
- What is probability **any** bin is over k? 1/n **union bound**.
- Now can bound expected max:
  - With probability 1 1/n, max is  $O(\ln n / \ln \ln n)$ .
  - With probability 1/n, max is bigger, but at most n
  - So, expected max  $O(\ln n / \ln \ln n)$
- Typical approach: small expectation as small "common case" plus large "rare case"

Example: coupon collection/stable marriage.

- Probability didn't get  $k^{th}$  coupon after r rounds is  $(1 1/n)^r \le e^{-r/n}$
- which is  $n^{-\beta}$  for  $r = \beta n \ln n$
- so probability didn't get *some* coupon is at most  $n \cdot n^{-\beta} = n^{1-\beta}$  (using **union bound**)
- we say "time is  $O(n \ln n)$  with high probability" because we can make probability  $n^{-\beta}$  for any desired  $\beta$  by changing constant that doesn't affect asymptotic claim.

- sometime say "with high probability" when prove it for some  $\beta > 1$  even if didn't prove it for all.
- Saying "almost never above  $O(n \ln n)$ " is a much stronger statement than saying " $O(n \ln n)$  on average."

## Tail Bounds—Markov Inequality

At other times, don't want to get down and dirty with problem. So have developed set of bounding techniques that are basically problem independent.

- few assumptions, so applicable almost anywhere
- but for same reason, don't give as tight bounds
- the more you require of problem, the tighter bounds you can prove.

Markov inequality.

- $\Pr[Y \ge t] \le E[Y]/t$
- $\Pr[Y \ge tE[Y]] \le 1/t.$
- Only requires an expectation! So very widely applicable.

Application:  $ZPP = RP \cap coRP$ .

- If  $RP \cap coRP$ 
  - just run both
  - if neither affirms, run again
  - Each iter has probability 1/2 to affirm
  - So expected iterations 2:
  - So ZPP.
- If ZPP
  - suppose expected time T(n)
  - Run for time 2T(n), then stop and give default answer
  - Probability of default answer at most 1/2 (Markov)
  - So, RP.
  - $-\,$  If flip default answer, coRP

On flip side, not very strong: balls in bins  $\Pr[> \ln n] \le 1/\ln n$ . Can make much stronger by generalizing:  $\Pr[h(Y) > t] \le E[h(Y)]/t$  for **any positive** h.