Lecture 5: NMF and Topic Modeling in Practice
NMF and Topic Modelling in Practice

- Nonnegative Matrix Factorization
  - Alternating Minimization

- Topic Models
  - EM algorithm
  - Implementing the provable algorithm
  - Evaluating topic modeling algorithms
  - Challenges and new algorithms
Nonnegative Matrix Factorization

- NP-hard in general [Vavasis]
- Solvable in polynomial time when
  - rank is constant
  - A is separable
Algorithm in Practice:
Alternating Minimization [Lee Seung ’00]

● Given $A$, can find the best $W$
  $$
  \min ||M - AW||_F \\
  W_{i,j} \geq 0
  $$
● Given $W$, can find the best $A$
● Alternate between 2 steps.

● $||M - AW||_2$ converges
● May not converge to global OPT
Algorithm in Practice: Alternating Minimization [Lee Seung ’00]

- Different objectives
  \[
  \min D(M \| AW) = \sum (M_{ij}\log \frac{M_{ij}}{(AW)_{ij}} - M_{ij} + (AW)_{ij})
  \]

- Can still do alternating minimization
- Still may not converge to global optimum.

- Open: Why these algorithms work in practice?
  Can we prove they work for separable NMF?
Seeking Life’s Bare (Genetic) Necessities

COLD SPRING HARBOR, NEW YORK—How many genes does an organism need to survive? Last week at the genome meeting here, two genome researchers with radically different approaches presented complementary views of the basic genes needed for life. One research team, using computer analyses to compare known genomes, concluded that today’s organisms can be sustained with just 250 genes, and that the earliest life forms required a mere 128 genes. The other researcher mapped genes in a simple parasite and estimated that for this organism, 800 genes are plenty to do the job—but that anything short of 100 wouldn’t be enough.

Although the numbers don’t match precisely, those predictions
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Recap: Probabilistic Topic Model

Known: Topic Matrix $A$

For each document

- Sample length of document
- Sample a mixture of topics

For each word

- Sample a topic
- Sample a word from the topic
Expectation-Maximization algorithm

- Alternate between 2 steps

- **E** (Expectation) step
  Based on current parameters (topics), estimate the (hidden) topic assigned to each word.

- **M**(Maximization) step
  Based on the topics assigned to words, find the best (most likely) word-topic matrix.
Expectation-Maximization algorithm

- **EM** tries to solve the **maximum likelihood** problem.
- **EM** converges, but may not to **global OPT**

- Problem: **E-step** is already hard to compute
  - Use approximation (Variational EM)
  - Use sampling (Markov-Chain Monte-Carlo, Gibbs)

- Many ways to optimize/parallelize/…
- Many packages ready for applications.
Implementing Provable Algorithm

- **Provable** algorithms may not be practical.
- Running time may be a large polynomial.
- Sample complexity may be far from optimal.
- Algorithms may not be robust to model mismatch.
Recall: Algorithm for Topic Modeling

- Estimate word-word correlation matrix
- Apply NMF Algorithm
  - Test each word (with a linear program)
  - Compute A’ matrix (again by LP)
- Use Bayes’ rule to compute the topic matrix
Difficulties

- Effectively estimate the word-word correlation?
- Efficiently solve many Linear Programs?
- Real documents satisfy “anchor words” assumption?
Estimating Word-Word Correlation

- $Q_{i,j} = \text{Pr[first word is } i, \text{ second word is } j]\]

- Need to consider all $\frac{N(N-1)}{2}$ pairs for a length $N$ document.
- Can only estimate for frequent words
- Prune stop words and rare words.
Nonnegative Matrix Factorization

- Recall: Separable NMF $\Leftrightarrow$ Finding vertices

- Solving one linear program for each word is too slow!

- Need to find faster algorithms.
Faster Algorithm for Separable NMF

Find the farthest point to origin

REPEAT \( k-1 \) times

Find the point farthest to affine hull of previously found points.
Finding Convex Combinations

- Given anchor words, represent all other words as convex combinations
- Different objectives: $\|\|_2$ norm, KL-Divergence
- A convex program for each word
  - Low dimensional
  - Can be solved approximately
  - Use gradient descent/exponentiated gradient
Evaluation

- Toy Examples: correctness.
- Synthetic Examples: running time, sample complexity, robustness.
- Real Data
  - Qualitative evaluation: look at the topics found
  - Quantitative evaluation: held-out likelihood, ...
- Real Application: Apply topic models to recommend articles, social science, ...
Evaluating Topic Modeling Algorithm

- Compare to MALLAT (package based on Gibbs sampling)
- Variants of algorithms
  - Recover: Basic algorithm
  - Recover-L2: Try to minimize $\|Q-AW\|_F$
  - Recover-KL: Try to minimize KL-divergence between rows of Q and AW.
- Data Set: UCI New York Times
  - 295k articles, 15k vocabulary, average length~300
Running Time

- Algorithms are faster than MALLAT, because most of the work is done on the word-word correlation matrix.
Semi-synthetic Example

- **Idea:** Compute topic matrix by running MALLET on NYT data set, then generate synthetic documents.
- **Benefit:**
  - Has ground truth, measure error in parameter space
  - Easy to tweak parameters (different topic models, topic matrix, # documents, #words, ...)
  - Topic matrix is “natural”
- **Data is still generated from the model, hard to evaluate the robustness of algorithm.**
Semi-synthetic Experiments

- Performance is comparable to MALLAT, especially with more documents.
- Does not achieve 0 error with infinite data (not separable)
Anchor Words?

- Most topics have anchor words.
- Algorithms works OK even when some topics do not have anchor words.
Real Data (sample topics)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Example Topics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RecoverL2</td>
<td>president zzz_clinton zzz_white_house zzz_bush official zzz_bill_clinton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibbs</td>
<td>zzz_bush zzz_george_bush president administration zzz_white_house zzz_dick_cheney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RecoverL2</td>
<td>father family zzz_elian boy court zzz_miami</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibbs</td>
<td>zzz_cuba zzz_miami cuban zzz_elian boy protest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RecoverL2</td>
<td>oil prices percent million market zzz_united_states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibbs</td>
<td>oil power energy gas prices plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RecoverL2</td>
<td><strong>zzz_microsoft</strong> company computer system window software</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibbs</td>
<td>zzz_microsoft company companies cable zzz_at zzz_internet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RecoverL2</td>
<td>government election zzz_mexico political zzz_vicente_fox president</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibbs</td>
<td>election political campaign zzz_party democratic voter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RecoverL2</td>
<td>fight <strong>zzz_mike Tyson</strong> round right million champion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibbs</td>
<td>fight zzz_mike Tyson ring fighter champion round</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Real Data (Held-out likelihood)

- Idea: For each document, show a fraction of words, use the learned topic matrix to predict the distribution $Pr[z = i|doc]$

- For the rest of the words $i_1, i_2, \ldots$
  $Score = \sum_j \log Pr[z = i_j|doc]$

- Details matter (how to predict $Pr[z=i|doc]$, fraction of held-out, smoothing… )
Real Data (Held-out likelihood)

- MALLAT is better, but RecoverKL is close.
- Recover algorithms followed by MALLAT improves held-out likelihood.
Challenges and New Algorithms

- What if anchor-word assumption is not true?
  - For LDA, can use tensor decomposition [AFHKL’12]
  - Only appear in 1 topic ⇒ Only appear in few topics (subset separable [GZ’15])
  - “Catch Words”: words that appear more frequently in one topic than all others [BBGKP’15]

- How to guess the number of topics?
  - Use low dimensional embeddings? [LeeMimno’14]

- Variants of topic models?
  - multilingual, temporal, ...
Homework

- Homework 1 is out, due in 2 weeks (9/24/2015 in class)
- Latex strongly encouraged.
- Discussions are allowed, but must acknowledge.
- Start early.
- Questions: email rongge@cs.duke.edu.
References

Codes for Recover Algorithm:
http://www.cs.nyu.edu/~halpern/code.html

MALLAT package
http://mallet.cs.umass.edu/

Papers
[Lee Seung ’00]
[AFHKL’12]
[LeeMimno’14]
[GZ’15]
[BBGKP’15] (not available yet)