# SQL: Transactions

Introduction to Databases CompSci 316 Fall 2019



### Announcements (Wed., Oct. 9)

- Gradiance SQL recursion exercise due tonight
- Project Milestone 1 due tonight!
  - Only one member of the group submit (as a group)
  - Be sure to include members.txt in your submission
  - Stay tuned for instructions on how to post weekly updates on Piazza
- Midterm grading almost done
  - Sample solution already posted on Sakai
- Homework 2 grades released
  - Sample solution already posted on Sakai

#### **Transactions**

- A transaction is a sequence of database operations with the following properties (ACID):
  - Atomic: Operations of a transaction are executed all-ornothing, and are never left "half-done"
  - Consistency: Assume all database constraints are satisfied at the start of a transaction, they should remain satisfied at the end of the transaction
  - Isolation: Transactions must behave as if they were executed in complete isolation from each other
  - Durability: If the DBMS crashes after a transaction commits, all effects of the transaction must remain in the database when DBMS comes back up

### SQL transactions

- A transaction is automatically started when a user executes an SQL statement
- Subsequent statements in the same session are executed as part of this transaction
  - Statements see changes made by earlier ones in the same transaction
  - Statements in other concurrently running transactions do not
- COMMIT command commits the transaction
  - Its effects are made final and visible to subsequent transactions
- ROLLBACK command aborts the transaction
  - Its effects are undone

### Fine prints

- Schema operations (e.g., CREATE TABLE) implicitly commit the current transaction
  - Because it is often difficult to undo a schema operation
- Many DBMS support an AUTOCOMMIT feature, which automatically commits every single statement
  - You can turn it on/off through the API
  - For PostgreSQL:
    - psq1 command-line processor turns it on by default
    - You can turn it off at the psq1 prompt by typing: \set AUTOCOMMIT 'off'

### Atomicity

- Partial effects of a transaction must be undone when
  - User explicitly aborts the transaction using ROLLBACK
    - E.g., application asks for user confirmation in the last step and issues COMMIT or ROLLBACK depending on the response
  - The DBMS crashes before a transaction commits
- Partial effects of a modification statement must be undone when any constraint is violated
  - Some systems roll back only this statement and let the transaction continue; others roll back the whole transaction
- How is atomicity achieved?
  - Logging (to support undo)

## Durability

- DBMS accesses data on stable storage by bringing data into memory
- Effects of committed transactions must survive DBMS crashes
- How is durability achieved?
  - Forcing all changes to disk at the end of every transaction?
    - Too expensive
  - Logging (to support redo)

### Consistency

- Consistency of the database is guaranteed by constraints and triggers declared in the database and/or transactions themselves
  - Whenever inconsistency arises, abort the statement or transaction, or (with deferred constraint checking or application-enforced constraints) fix the inconsistency within the transaction

### Isolation

- Transactions must appear to be executed in a serial schedule (with no interleaving operations)
- For performance, DBMS executes transactions using a serializable schedule
  - In this schedule, operations from different transactions can interleave and execute concurrently
  - But the schedule is guaranteed to produce the same effects as a serial schedule
- How is isolation achieved?
  - Locking, multi-version concurrency control, etc.

### SQL isolation levels

- Strongest isolation level: SERIALIZABLE
  - Complete isolation
- Weaker isolation levels: REPEATABLE READ, READ COMMITTED, READ UNCOMMITTED
  - Increase performance by eliminating overhead and allowing higher degrees of concurrency
  - Trade-off: sometimes you get the "wrong" answer

#### READ UNCOMMITTED

- Can read "dirty" data
  - A data item is dirty if it is written by an uncommitted transaction
- Problem: What if the transaction that wrote the dirty data eventually aborts?
- Example: wrong average

#### READ COMMITTED

- No dirty reads, but non-repeatable reads possible
  - Reading the same data item twice can produce different results
- Example: different averages

```
-- T1:
-- T2:
SELECT AVG(pop)
FROM User;
UPDATE User
SET pop = 0.99
WHERE uid = 142;
COMMIT;
SELECT AVG(pop)
FROM User;
COMMIT;
```

#### REPEATABLE READ

- Reads are repeatable, but may see phantoms
- Example: different average (still!)

```
-- T1:

SELECT AVG(pop)
FROM User;

INSERT INTO User
VALUES(789, 'Nelson',
10, 0.1);

COMMIT;

SELECT AVG(pop)
FROM User;
COMMIT;
```

### Summary of SQL isolation levels

Isolation level/anomaly	Dirty reads	Non-repeatable reads	Phantoms
READ UNCOMMITTED	Possible	Possible	Possible
READ COMMITTED	Impossible	Possible	Possible
REPEATABLE READ	Impossible	Impossible	Possible
SERIALIZABLE	Impossible	Impossible	Impossible

- Syntax: At the beginning of a transaction, SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL isolation level [READ ONLY | READ WRITE];
  - READ UNCOMMITTED can only be READ ONLY
- PostgreSQL defaults to READ COMMITTED

# Transactions in programming

#### Using pyscopg2 as an example:

- isolation level defaults to READ COMMITTED
- read only defaults to False
- autocommit defaults to False
- When autocommit is False, commit/abort current transaction as follows:

```
conn.commit()
conn.rollback()
```

### ANSI isolation levels are lock-based

- READ UNCOMMITTED
  - Short-duration locks: lock, access, release immediately
- READ COMMITTED
  - Long-duration write locks: do not release write locks until commit
- REPEATABLE READ
  - Long-duration locks on all data items accessed
- SERIALIZABLE
  - Lock ranges to prevent insertion as well

### Isolation levels not based on locks?

#### Snapshot isolation in Oracle

- Based on multiversion concurrency control
  - Used in Oracle, PostgreSQL, MS SQL Server, etc.
- How it works
  - Transaction *X* performs its operations on a private snapshot of the database taken at the start of *X*
  - X can commit only if it does not write any data that has been also written by a transaction committed after the start of X
- Avoids all ANSI anomalies
- But is NOT equivalent to SERIALIZABLE because of write skew anomaly

### Write skew example

- Constraint: combined balance  $A + B \ge 0$
- A = 100, B = 100
- $T_1$  checks  $A + B 200 \ge 0$ , and then proceeds to withdraw 200 from A
- $T_2$  checks  $A + B 200 \ge 0$ , and then proceeds to withdraw 200 from B
- Possible under snapshot isolation because the writes (to A and to B) do not conflict
- But A + B = -200 < 0 afterwards!

To avoid write skew, when committing, ensure the transaction didn't <u>read</u> any object others wrote and committed after this transaction started

#### **Bottom line**

- Group reads and dependent writes into a transaction in your applications
  - E.g., enrolling a class, booking a ticket
- Anything less than SERIALABLE is potentially very dangerous
  - Use only when performance is critical
  - READ ONLY makes weaker isolation levels a bit safer