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Motivation

• We’ve looked at many different proposals for router extensions and changes.
• There are many others (multicast, anycast, IPv6)
• There are huge obstacles to deployment.
  - Nobody owns/controls the Internet
  - Everybody must agree to deploy
    • “You go first”
  - Incentives not in place
• Result: “ossification”, frustration
ANTS: A Modest Proposal

• “Active Networks” [Wetherall, Tennenhouse]
  - “Systematic means of upgrading protocol processing in the network”.
  - “Decouple services from the infrastructure”
  - “Untrusted user can freely customize the network”
• Packets are capsules that (conceptually) carry code.
  - Code executes in the routers
  - Anybody can put code in their packets/capsules
• “Reconcile flexibility with performance and security”
What can we learn about research?

- Philosophical issues:
  - Fantasy ("vision") vs. reality
  - Dream "what if..."
  - Spin vs. science
  - Positive results vs. positive impact
- Massive public investment through DARPA
- Principals and principles moved on
  - E.g., Tennenhouse to Intel
- Focus now on more modest forms of extensibility
  - PlanetLab, network processors
Plethora of *(Proposed)* Useful Network Protocols

- **Multicast**
  - Specify group of receivers for a message for efficient delivery

- **Anycast**
  - Specify one of group of receivers (load balancing, naming)
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Plethora of *(Proposed)* Useful Network Protocols

- **RSVP**
  - Reserve network resources for shared delivery

- **IPv6**
  - More bits for IP addresses
  - Support for multicast, anycast, RSVP
  - What about newer protocols/variants?
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Programmable Networks

- Insert computation into routers
- Associate with each packet (*capsule*) a program responsible for transmitting it to its endpoint
- The entire network adapts to achieve peak efficiency
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Active Networking Issues

• Speed
  - Routing in hardware w/o software intervention
  - Running program in the router will increase latency
    • Even relative to a fixed software implementation
• Resource allocation
  - Programs in routers consuming unbounded resources
• Safety/Security
  - Restricting access to sensitive resources/program state
• Trust
  - I’m going to run your code in my router?
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Caching Fast-Changing Data

• Service that provides rapidly changing information
  - Military information system, airline flight status, stock quotes
• Web Caching?
  - Today’s proxy caches cannot cache dynamically generated data (well....)
  - Depends heavily on cache placement
  - Wrong granularity: pages as opposed to objects (My Yahoo)
• Active Networks can be customized to provide:
  - Application-specific cache coherence
  - Application-specific object granularity
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AN Caching Protocol

- Quotes cached at Active Nodes on client-server path
- Subsequent requests intercepted to consult cache
- Caches automatically lie on the path between client/server
  - Do not redirect to caches in wrong direction
- Application specific cache coherence
  - Different clients have different requirements for “freshness”
- (Potential) Benefits:
  - Decrease client latency
  - Decrease the traffic at routers
  - Decrease server load
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Rethinking Performance

• Traditional networking metrics:
  – Bandwidth, latency on a packet level
• What really matters is end-to-end performance
  – Application throughput
  – Client-perceived latency
• Active Networks may slow routing down
  – But improve end-to-end application performance
  – Use application-specific notions of throughput/latency
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Who Can Introduce New Services?

- Originally, goal was to allow anyone to introduce and test a new service
  - However, issues with wide-area resource allocation makes it important to verify the “correctness” of capsule code
  - Current model requires approval from central authority (such as IETF)
  - Makes deploying protocols slower than original vision, but still much faster than current Internet
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Protection Issues

• Need to protect against
  - Node runtime corruption by service code
  - Corrupted/spoofed capsule code
  - Soft state cached at Active Nodes for one protocol manipulated by another service
• How does Active Networks provide protection for above?
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Protection Issues

- Need to protect against
  - Node runtime corruption by service code
    - Java
  - Corrupted/spoofed capsule code
    - MD-5 signature
  - Soft state cached at Active Nodes for one protocol manipulated by another service
    - Restricted ANTS API
    - Guarded access to state among separate services
    - Hierarchical service model allows multiple service types to cooperate

{razor,vahdat}@cs.duke.edu
Resource Allocation Issues

• Difficulties with allocating resources in active nets:
  - Single capsule consumes too much resources at active node
  - Capsule and other capsules it creates consume unbounded resources across wide area
  - End application introduces large number of capsules

• How to address these problems?
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Resource Allocation Issues

• Difficulties with allocating resources in active nets:
  - Single capsule consumes too much resources
    • Current Java technology allows per-capsule resource consumption limits
  - Capsule and other capsules it creates consume unbounded resources across wide area
    • Difficult problem
    • What resources does a capsule need?
    • Certification
  - App introduces large number of capsules
    • Not well-addressed in either Internet or AN
    • Users cooperate to provide fair access?
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Security and Resource Allocation

- Multicast program that spawns two packets at each node
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Active Networks Discussion

• Introduce programmability for
  - Rapid introduction of new protocols
  - Increased end-to-end performance
• Rethink network performance in terms of app performance
• Issues:
  - Speed, Resource allocation, Safety/Security
• Active Networks can make explicit “transparent” network caching, network address translation, etc.
Lessons

• Node APIs define the power of the capsule system.
• Capsules may be “glue” to specialized node APIs.
  - “specialized network-embedded resources”
• Soft state and code caching
• Protecting state from code vs. from users of code
• Sandboxing, code signing, code fingerprinting
More Philosophy

• What’s the “killer app”?
• Do we need a “killer app“?
• Is any such “killer app“ possible for extensibility?
• What kinds of extensions can ANTS support?
  - XCP?
  - Pushback?
  - Any resource control functions?
  - Services vs. “router properties”
• What can ANTS do that we cannot do in an overlay?
• Does ANTS help build better overlays?
• Is this OS research or networks research?
Click

- Software-based router
- Extensible
  - Introduce new elements with new functions
- Configurable
  - Connect elements in a graph
  - Packets take a path through the graph
  - Static checking for legal graph
    - Source all outputs, sink all inputs
    - Match push vs. pull for ports/connectors
    - Queues bridge between push and pull
- Real, fast, real fast
Click Lessons

• Graph model is elegant in its simplicity
• Abstract/decouple the composition of functions from the functions themselves (elements)
  - Functions are local, operate only on packets
    • E.g., queue policies and traffic engineering
  - Elements may have fan-in or fan-out > 1
• A library of predefined elements allows construction of an (almost) standards-compliant router.
• Similar approach has been proposed for Web services (SEDA SOSP 2001)
State in Click

- May pass data downstream via **annotations**
- Flow-based router context
  - Identify flow path through the element graph
  - Why not an ANTS-like state store?
  - Any notion of “services”?
- Some instances of “inconvenient” global state.
- What about route selection (vs. forwarding)?
The Click Modular Router
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Motivation

- Routers responsible for forwarding arriving data to proper output port

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Routing Table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prefix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xxx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yyy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- What policy must be expressed in routers?

{razor,vahdat}@cs.duke.edu
Motivation

• Policy must be expressed in routers
  - Resource allocation/Quality of Service
  - Congestion control
  - Traffic Shaping
• Existing routers based around proprietary hardware/software extensions
• Commodity operating systems can be modified
  - Complex, a lot of work
  - Click is all about providing a framework for extending router functionality

{razor,vahdat}@cs.duke.edu
Click Architecture

- **Elements**
  - Object-oriented class determines behavior
  - Queues, flow classifiers, input/output devices

- **Input and output ports**
  - Connect elements together

- **Configuration strings**
  - Specify initialization behavior of elements

- **Implementation language** allows users to specify behavior/configuration of Click Router
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Push and Pull Processing

- Data moves through system through both push and pull
  - Packets move from input device through connectivity graph until they reach a queue through *push* operations
  - When output devices are ready to receive new packets, they *pull* packets
  - Pulls move backward through connectivity graph until they reach an element that can provide a packet (e.g., queue)
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IP Routing
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IP Routing
Performance

![Graph showing the performance of different systems under varying input rates. The x-axis represents the input rate (Kpackets/s) and the y-axis represents the forwarding rate (Kpackets/s). The graph compares Click, Linux, and Polling Linux systems.]
Discussion

• **Extensibility** key to future systems/protocols
  - Lesson learned from deployment of operating systems, network protocols: do not make decisions that cannot be revisited
• Extensibility comes at what cost?
  - Performance
  - Safety
• Proper abstractions are critical
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Extensible Routers

- Public extensibility (ANTS) vs. ownercontrol (Click)
- Focus on cost of extensibility
- New mechanisms to push functions to NICs
- Control functions in general-purpose processors
- Not rocket science, but is there a market?