## Complexity Class P

- Deterministic in nature
- Solved by conventional computers in polynomial time

\author{

- O(1) Constant <br> - O(log n) Sub-linear <br> - O(n) Linear <br> - O(n log n) Nearly Linear <br> - O( $n^{2}$ ) Quadratic
}
- Polynomial upper and lower bounds


## Decision and Optimization Problems

- Decision Problem: computational problem with intended output of "yes" or "no", 1 or 0
- Optimization Problem: computational problem where we try to maximize or minimize some value
- Introduce parameter k and ask if the optimal value for the problem is a most or at least $k$. Turn optimization into decision


## Complexity Class NP

- Non-deterministic part as well
- choose(b): choose a bit in a non-deterministic way and assign to $b$
- If someone tells us the solution to a problem, we can verify it in polynomial time
- Two Properties: non-deterministic method to generate possible solutions, deterministic method to verify in polynomial time that the solution is correct.


## Circuit-SAT



- Take a Boolean circuit with a single output node and ask whether there is an assignment of values to the circuit's inputs so that the output is " 1 "


## Knapsack



- Given $s$ and $w$ can we translate a subset of rectangles to have their bottom edges on $L$ so that the total area of the rectangles touching $L$ is at least $w$ ?


## PTAS

- Polynomial-Time Approximation Schemes
- Much faster, but not guaranteed to find the best solution
- Come as close to the optimum value as possible in a reasonable amount of time
- Take advantage of rescalability property of some hard problems


## Backtracking

- Effective for decision problems
- Systematically traverse through possible paths to locate solutions or dead ends
- At the end of the path, algorithm is left with $(x, y)$ pair. $x$ is remaining subproblem, $y$ is set of choices made to get to $x$
- Initially ( $\mathrm{x}, \varnothing$ ) passed to algorithm


## Algorithm Backtrack(x):

Input: A problem instance $x$ for a hard problem
Output: A solution for $x$ or "no solution" if none exists
$F \leftarrow\{(x, \emptyset)\}$,
while $F \neq \emptyset$ do
select from $F$ the most "promising" configuration ( $x, y$ )
expand $(x, y)$ by making a small set of additional choices
let $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right)$ be the set of new configurations.
for each new configuration $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ do perform a simple consistency check on ( $x_{i,}, y_{i}$ )
if the check returns "solution found" then
return the solution derived from ( $x_{i}, y_{i}$ )
if the check returns "dead end" then
discard the configuration ( $x_{i}, y_{i}$ )
else
$F \leftarrow F U\left\{\left(x_{i j} y_{i}\right)\right\}$,
return "no solution"

## Branch-and-Bound

- Effective for optimization problems
- Extended Backtracking Algorithm
- Instead of stopping once a single solution is found, continue searching until the best solution is found
- Has a scoring mechanism to choose most promising configuration in each iteration

```
Algorithm Branch-and-Bound(x):
    Imput: A problem instance x for a hard optimization problem
    Output: A solution for x or "no solution" if none exists
    F\leftharpoondown{(x, \varnothing)},
    b}\leftarrow{(+\infty,\emptyset)}
    while F F \emptyset do
        select from F the most "promising" configuration ( }x,y
        expand ( }x,y\mathrm{ ), yielding new configurations ( }\mp@subsup{x}{1}{\prime},\mp@subsup{y}{1}{\prime}),\ldots,( (\mp@subsup{x}{k}{\prime},\mp@subsup{y}{k}{}
        for each new configuration (}\mp@subsup{x}{i}{},\mp@subsup{y}{i}{})\mathrm{ ) do
            perform a simple consistency check on ( }\mp@subsup{x}{i}{
            if the check returns "solution found" then
            If the cost c of the solution for ( }\mp@subsup{x}{i}{\prime},\mp@subsup{y}{i}{})\mathrm{ ) beats b then
                b}\leftarrow(\mp@subsup{c}{n}{}(\mp@subsup{x}{i}{},\mp@subsup{y}{i}{\prime})
            else
                    discard the configuration ( }\mp@subsup{x}{i}{},\mp@subsup{y}{i}{}
            If the check returns "dead end" then
                    discard the configuration ( }\mp@subsup{x}{i}{},\mp@subsup{y}{i}{}\mathrm{ )
            else
                    if lb}(\mp@subsup{x}{i}{},\mp@subsup{y}{i}{})\mathrm{ ) is less than the cost of }b\mathrm{ then
                    F}\leftarrowF|{{(\mp@subsup{x}{i}{},\mp@subsup{y}{i}{})]
                    else
                    discard the configuration ( }\mp@subsup{x}{i}{},\mp@subsup{y}{i}{}
    return b
```


## Polynomial-Time Reducibility

- Language $L$ is polynomial-time reducible to language $M$ if there is a function computable in polynomial time that takes an input $x$ of $L$ and transforms it to an input $f(x)$ of $M$, such that $x$ is a member of $L$ if and only if $f(x)$ is a member of $M$.
- Shorthand, $L^{\text {poly }} M$ means $L$ is polynomial-time reducible to M


## NP-Hard and NP-Complete

- Language M is NP-hard if every other language L in NP is polynomial-time reducible to M
- For every $L$ that is a member of NP, Lpoly $M$
- If language M is NP-hard and also in the class of NP itself, then M is NP-complete

